



U.S. Department
of Transportation

Federal Motor
Carrier Safety
Administration



ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

February | 2026

Contents

ITD DQ Initiative	1
Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures	1
Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA).....	2
Individual Measures – T-19.....	2
Overall Rating – T-19.....	2
Overall Ratings – T-19 (IFTA)	3
State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings December 2025 – February 2026	3
Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)	4
M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19.....	4
M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19.....	5
M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19.....	6
M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19.....	7
M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19.....	8
Overview of DQ Measures – T-22 (IRP).....	9
Individual Measures – T-22.....	9
Overall Measure – T-22	9
Overall Ratings – T-22 (IRP)	10
State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings December 2025 – February 2026.....	10
Individual Ratings by State – T-22 (IRP)	11
M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22.....	11
M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22.....	12
M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22.....	13
M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22.....	14
M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22	15
Additional Information	16
SAFER Processing Report	16
Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues	16
Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures	18

ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

February 2026

This report presents the results of the February 2026 State Data Quality (DQ) evaluation. It also provides background on the purpose, development, and methodology of the State DQ Measures.

ITD DQ Initiative

Data quality is a top priority of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) and the Innovative Technology Deployment (ITD) Program. The ITD DQ Improvement Initiative was developed by the ITD Support team at the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe), in coordination with an ACCB¹ DQ Working Group, to identify and resolve DQ issues. The ITD Support team developed DQ measures, standards, and evaluation methodologies, which were reviewed and accepted by the ACCB. Since June 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. Monthly reports provide DQ ratings generated by:

- The DQ Performance Measures, which rate the quality of IFTA² and IRP³ credential data uploaded by ITD States to SAFER via the T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction) and the T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction).
- The DQ Communication Process, which communicates, and monitors correction of, individual State-reported DQ issues.

Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures

The purpose of the ITD DQ Performance Measures is not to be punitive, but to highlight data quality issues and prompt States to investigate causes. The measures were designed to address expectations of the ITD Program as well as issues raised by States during program workshops and ACCB meetings; the design was also informed by the DQ Communication Process. Ongoing discussion is encouraged as the measures evolve with the needs of the Program and the ITD community.

See below for a high-level timeline of the development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures. The appendix to this report provides details.



¹ ACCB – ITD Architecture Change Control Board.

² IFTA – International Fuel Tax Association.

³ IRP – International Registration Plan.

Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA)

The T-19 DQ Measures rate the quality of IFTA data uploaded by IFTA Commercial Vehicle Information Exchange Window (CVIEW)-certified States to FMCSA's Safety and Fitness Electronic Records (SAFER). Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IFTA CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall T-19 rating is calculated from the individual rating values.

Individual Measures – T-19

The T-19 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

T-19 Measures and Rating Calculations/Values – Effective December 2021

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-19 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percentage of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	M1 = # uploads / # business days Good if M1 % >= 100 Fair if 95 <= M1 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M1 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percentage of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	M2 = # applied / # received, 0, if # received = 0 Good if M2 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M2 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M2 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error "Older than existing data." Source: Log files. Counts of error message "Older than existing data."	M3 = 100% - (# rejected_error / # received), 0, if # received = 0 Good if M3 % = 100 Fair if 98 <= M3 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M3 % <= 97	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
4 Validity: IFTA Exp. Date./ Status	IFTA account exp. date and status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-19 Interstate records (Active account status) that show valid account (exp. date not expired). Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, Interstate flag, IFTA exp. date, IFTA status.	M4 = 100% - (# count / # active), 0, if # active = 0 Good if M4 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M4 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M4 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	M5 = 2, if exempt M5 = 1, if baseline last 12 mos. M5 = 0, if no baseline last 12 mos.	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Rating – T-19

The T-19 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-19 Overall Rating Calculation – Effective August 2022

Individual T-19 Rating Values	Measure Weights	Overall Rating Calculation	Overall Rating Ranges
Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1	M1: Timely Uploads = 15% M2: Completeness = 30% M3: Accuracy = 20% M4: Validity = 30% M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	(M1 Rating Value * 15%) + (M2 Rating Value * 30%) + (M3 Rating Value * 20%) + (M4 Rating Value * 30%) + (M5 Rating Value * 5%) If calculated Overall Rating is <1, = 0	Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤2.2

Overall Ratings – T-19 (IFTA)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State's Core and CVIEW certification status along with its Overall ratings.

State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: 2.7 – 3.0	Fair: 2.3 – 2.6	Poor: ≤ 2.2
-----------------	-----------------	-------------

STATE	Core Certified	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December Overall Rating	January Overall Rating	February Overall Rating
AK*	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AZ	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
CA	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
CO	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.1	2.7
CT	Yes	Yes	2.3	2.0	2.9
DC	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
GA	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	2.4	3.0	3.0
ID	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
IL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
IN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KS	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
LA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
MA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MN	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MO	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

STATE	Core Certified	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December Overall Rating	January Overall Rating	February Overall Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
ND	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NM	Yes	Yes	2.5	2.5	2.3
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.9
OH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
OK	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.4	2.7
OR	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	3.0
PA	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	3.0
SC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	2.4	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
TX	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.9	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
VA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WA	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	3.0
WI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

*AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.
n/a = Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

National Counts of T-19 Overall Rating Ranges December 2025 – February 2026

December Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	January Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	February Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified
Good	40	Good	41	Good	44
Fair	6	Fair	4	Fair	3
Poor	1	Poor	2	Poor	0
Total	47	Total	47	Total	47

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total count of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

Frequency Standard = 19: February had 20 weekdays and 1 holiday (2/16).

Methodology: Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percentage of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 2/1/2026 – 2/28/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: ≥ 100%
- Fair: 95% – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: ≥ 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
--------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December Uploads % of Standard	January Uploads % of Standard	February Uploads % of Standard
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	155	145	147
AR	Yes	110	125	126
AZ	Yes	140	135	142
CA	Yes	155	155	142
CO	Yes	85	90	84
CT	Yes	95	105	95
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	130	150	142
FL	Yes	150	135	147
GA	Yes	80	85	79
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	150	125	147
ID	Yes	55	105	100
IL	Yes	105	105	89
IN	Yes	155	155	137
KS	Yes	75	100	100
KY	Yes	150	155	147
LA	Yes	105	100	95
MA	Yes	100	105	100
MD	Yes	155	150	147
ME	Yes	115	145	126
MI	Yes	155	150	147
MN	Yes	115	105	105
MO	Yes	140	120	111
MS	Yes	110	105	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December Uploads % of Standard	January Uploads % of Standard	February Uploads % of Standard
MT	Yes	150	140	142
NC	Yes	155	155	142
ND	Yes	155	155	147
NE	Yes	145	155	126
NH	Yes	125	145	142
NJ	Yes	155	145	142
NM	Yes	95	95	79
NV	Yes	140	145	132
NY	Yes	105	110	105
OH	Yes	145	155	132
OK	Yes	120	80	68
OR	Yes	130	120	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	140	145	132
SC	Yes	125	115	126
SD	Yes	135	150	142
TN	Yes	155	155	147
TX	Yes	80	95	105
UT	Yes	90	85	79
VA	Yes	155	155	147
VT	Yes	155	155	137
WA	Yes	155	150	142
WI	Yes	115	110	100
WV	Yes	155	155	147
WY	Yes	150	140	147

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER.
(not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 2/1/2026 – 2/28/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December % Successful Uploads	January % Successful Uploads	February % Successful Uploads
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	92	92	93
CO	Yes	100	99	100
CT	Yes	100	81	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December % Successful Uploads	January % Successful Uploads	February % Successful Uploads
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	99	100
OR	Yes	100	99	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	99	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.”

Source: Error logs, 2/1/2026 – 2/28/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 98 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 98 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 97%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December % Current	January % Current	February % Current
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	100	100
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	81	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December % Current	January % Current	February % Current
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19

Standard: IFTA account validity and IFTA status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of active T-19 Interstate records (IFTA status of Active) that show a valid IFTA account.

Source: SAFER, 3/4/2026. (Query of carrier IFTA status code, account number, and expiration date.)

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Note: As of the **April 2018** evaluation, the T-19 M4 measure allows for a 60-day grace period, which is the IFTA standard; previously, this measure allowed for a 30-day grace period.

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December % Aligned	January % Aligned	February % Aligned
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	86	86	86
CA ²	Yes	100	100	100
CO	Yes	98	98	100
CT	Yes	60	100	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	52	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December % Aligned	January % Aligned	February % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC ²	Yes	97	97	97
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	99	99	99
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	82	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

¹ AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

² CA and NC IFTA data is sent directly from IFTA clearinghouse.

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19

Standard: Annual IFTA baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

- **Good:** State conducted an IFTA baseline at least once in the past 12 months.
- **Poor:** State did not conduct a baseline at least once in the past 12 months.

STATE	Mar-26	Apr-26	May-26
AL	DUE		
KY		DUE	
ND	DUE		
NJ		DUE	
UT	DUE		
VT			DUE

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: Baseline in Last 12 Months	Poor: No Baseline in Last 12 Months	Good: Baseline Due Soon
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December Rating	January Rating	February Rating
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CA ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	December Rating	January Rating	February Rating
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NC ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ND	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NJ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NM	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NY	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
OH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OK	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Poor
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
WI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WY	Yes	Good	Good	Good

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

¹ AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

² CA and NC are exempt from baselining so receive credit for purposes of calculating the Overall rating

Overview of DQ Measures – T-22 (IRP)

The T-22 DQ Measures rate the quality of IRP data uploaded by IRP CVIEW-certified States to SAFER. Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IRP CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall rating is calculated from individual rating values.

Individual Measures – T-22

The T-22 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

ITD Data Quality Measures – T-22 (IRP) – Effective April 2020

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-22 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percentage of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	M1 = # uploads / # business days Good if M1 % >= 100 Fair if 95 <= M1 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M1 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percentage of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	M2 = # applied / # received, 0, if # received = 0 Good if M2 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M2 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M2 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-22 records that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.” Source: Log files. Counts of error message “Older than existing data.”	M3 = 100% - (# rejected_error / # received), 0, if # received = 0 Good if M3 % = 100 Fair if 98 <= M3 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M3 % <= 97	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1 0, else
4 Validity: Vehicle Reg./IRP Status	Vehicle reg. status and IRP status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-22 Interstate records (Active IRP status) that show valid vehicle registration. Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, Interstate flag, reg. status, IRP status.	M4 = 100% - (# count / # active), 0, if # active = 0 Good if M4 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M4 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M4 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	M5 = 2, if exempt M5 = 1, if baseline in last 12 mos. M5 = 0, if no baseline in last 12 mos. Good if M5 = 1 or 2 Poor if M5 = 0	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Measure – T-22

The T-22 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-22 Overall Rating Calculation – Effective August 2022

Individual T-22 Rating Values	Measure Weights	Overall Rating Calculation	Overall Rating Ranges
Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1	M1: Timely Uploads = 15% M2: Completeness = 30% M3: Accuracy = 20% M4: Validity = 30% M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	(M1 Rating Value * 15%) + (M2 Rating Value * 30%) + (M3 Rating Value * 20%) + (M4 Rating Value * 30%) + (M5 Rating Value * 5%) If calculated Overall Rating is <1, = 0	Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤2.2

Overall Ratings – T-22 (IRP)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State's Core and CVIEW certification status, along with its Overall ratings.

State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: 2.7 – 3.0	Fair: 2.3 – 2.6	Poor: ≤ 2.2
-----------------	-----------------	-------------

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	December Overall Rating	January Overall Rating	February Overall Rating
AK	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.7
AL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.5	3.0
AZ	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.2	2.7
CA	Yes	Yes	2.5	2.2	2.2
CO	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.4	2.7
CT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
DC	No	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
GA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.0	2.7
ID	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
IL	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.0	3.0
IN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KS	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.4	3.0
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
LA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
MA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MN	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MO	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	December Overall Rating	January Overall Rating	February Overall Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.6
ND	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.4	2.4
NE	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.4	3.0
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
NM	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	2.4	1.4	2.4
OH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
OK	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.4	2.9
OR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
PA	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
RI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TX	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VA*	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WI	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	3.0
WV	Yes	Yes	2.9	3.0	3.0
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

National Counts of T-22 Overall Rating Ranges December 2025 – February 2026

December Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	January Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	February Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified
Good	44	Good	37	Good	44
Fair	5	Fair	7	Fair	4
Poor	0	Poor	5	Poor	1
Total	49	Total	49	Total	49

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total number of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State – T-22 (IRP)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

Frequency Standard = 19: February had 20 weekdays and 1 holiday (2/16).

Methodology: Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percentage of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 2/1/2026 – 2/28/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: $\geq 100\%$
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: $\leq 94\%$

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: $\geq 100\%$	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: $\leq 94\%$
--------------------	----------------	-------------------

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	December Uploads % of Stnd.	January Uploads % of Stnd.	February Uploads % of Stnd.
AK	Yes	155	155	142
AL	Yes	145	140	147
AR	Yes	140	150	142
AZ	Yes	130	150	132
CA	Yes	135	130	132
CO	Yes	100	115	116
CT	Yes	135	130	121
DC	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	125	130	105
FL	Yes	145	155	147
GA	Yes	150	150	142
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	150	125	147
ID	Yes	150	155	137
IL	Yes	110	110	105
IN	Yes	150	155	147
KS	Yes	120	120	121
KY	Yes	155	155	147
LA	Yes	115	110	95
MA	Yes	125	115	111
MD	Yes	120	105	116
ME	Yes	120	115	137
MI	Yes	150	130	147
MN	Yes	145	140	132
MO	Yes	135	155	142
MS	Yes	125	130	111

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	December Uploads % of Stnd.	January Uploads % of Stnd.	February Uploads % of Stnd.
MT	Yes	130	135	111
NC	Yes	150	140	137
ND	Yes	150	150	137
NE	Yes	135	145	137
NH	Yes	125	140	121
NJ	Yes	155	150	147
NM	Yes	115	100	84
NV	Yes	150	145	132
NY	Yes	150	135	142
OH	Yes	140	155	147
OK	Yes	135	110	95
OR	Yes	155	155	137
PA	Yes	155	155	142
RI	Yes	155	155	147
SC	Yes	115	110	126
SD	Yes	145	150	142
TN	Yes	125	110	121
TX	Yes	155	155	142
UT	Yes	140	145	142
VA	Yes	150	150	147
VT	Yes	120	110	121
WA	Yes	125	120	116
WI	Yes	110	115	100
WV	Yes	95	105	105
WY	Yes	150	140	147

Note: Some States upload on weekends/holidays.

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 2/1/2026 – 2/28/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: 100%

Fair: 95 - 99%

Poor: ≤ 94%

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	December % Successful Uploads	January % Successful Uploads	February % Successful Uploads
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	99	100
AZ	Yes	100	99	100
CA	Yes	99	99	99
CO	Yes	97	97	97
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	63	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	61	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	December % Successful Uploads	January % Successful Uploads	February % Successful Uploads
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	31	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA*	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-22 records in SAFER that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.”

Source: Error logs, 2/1/2026 – 2/28/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 98 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 98 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 97%
------------	----------------	-------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	December % Current	January % Current	February % Current
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	99	100
AZ	Yes	100	99	100
CA	Yes	99	99	99
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA *	Yes	100	63	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	61	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	December % Current	January % Current	February % Current
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	31	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA*	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22

Standard: Vehicle registration status and IRP status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of active T-22 Interstate records (IRP status of Active) that show valid vehicle registration.

Source: SAFER, 3/4/2026. (Query of process time, Interstate flag, registration status, IRP status.)

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	December % Aligned	January % Aligned	February % Aligned
AK	Yes	100	99	99
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	99	99	99
CA	Yes	100	99	99
CO	Yes	100	99	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	98	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	91	100	98
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	87	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS ²	Yes	100	33	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	December % Aligned	January % Aligned	February % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	97	95
ND	Yes	95	89	89
NE	Yes	100	32	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	90	89	87
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	92	92	91
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK ²	Yes	100	93	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	99	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY ¹	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

Note: Rating anomalies may result from the variety of renewal grace periods across States. While the M4 measure allows for a 30-day grace period, individual State grace periods range from 30 to 90 days.

¹ ITD DQ team has been notified of the State's renewal grace period of 90 days.

² ITD DQ team has been notified of the State's renewal grace period of 60 days.

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22

Standard: Annual IRP baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

- **Good:** State conducted an IRP baseline at least once in the past 12 months.
- **Poor:** State did not conduct an IRP baseline in the past 12 months.

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22 State Ratings December 2025 – February 2026

Good: Baseline in Last 12 Months	Poor: No Baseline in Last 12 Months	Good: Baseline Due Soon
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------

STATE	Mar-26	Apr-26	May-26
AR	DUE		
KY		DUE	
MA		DUE	
ND	DUE		
NJ		DUE	
NM			DUE
TN		DUE	
VT			DUE
WA	DUE		
WV		DUE	

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	December Rating	January Rating	February Rating
AK*	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	December Rating	January Rating	February Rating
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NC	Yes	Good	Good	Poor
ND	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NJ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NM	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OK	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WY	Yes	Good	Good	Good

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

Additional Information

SAFER Processing Report

The ITD Support team is aware that data quality can be impacted by the availability and timeliness of SAFER processing. The ITD DQ Evaluation report includes the following information about baseline processing and CVIEW production for the evaluated month.

January	Count / Month
Baselining States	8
IRP Only: IN, LA, MI	3
IFTA Only: CO, WA, WI	3
IFTA and IRP: FL, OK	2
Known Day(s) with Delays in CVIEW Production	0
Known Day(s) with Delays in IDR Production	0

Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues

The DQ Communication Process was developed and implemented to collect and report on specific data quality issues found by any State involving another State's data. Volpe collects and communicates State-reported findings, reaching out to responsible States to not only facilitate record correction, but to help the State identify conditions that may contribute to a larger data quality problem. This process depends on State participation, i.e., reporting findings and, if contacted by Volpe, promptly responding to issues. Since June 2014, Volpe has shared counts of reported and resolved issues at ITD Program Manager and ITD ACCB meetings; this indicator supplements that reporting.

Counts of Reported and Pending DQ Issues

As of 3/11/2026:

- June 2025: 27 states had issues reported. 17/167 are still pending.
- September 2025: 12 states had issues reported. 3/160 are still pending.
- October 2025: 20 states had issues reported. 3/160 are still pending.
- November 2025: 19 states had issues reported. 3/90 are still pending.
- December 2025: 9 states had issues reported. 2/23 are still pending.
- January 2026: 10 states had issues reported. 3/20 are still pending.
- February 2026: 13 states had issues reported. 5/19 are still pending.

Note: Starting with December 2022, DQ discrepancies reported by PrePass are included in these counts.

Responsiveness Indicator Criteria

The Responsiveness Indicator is described below in terms of the indicator's standard and methodology, and the status categories of Good, Fair, and Poor. The table that follows lists the status of the current evaluated month along with the previous two months', for reference.

- **Standard:** Resolve reported issue(s) within 30 days.
- **Methodology:** The number of days between a State being notified by Volpe of a DQ issue and resolving that issue is compared to the 30-day standard.

Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues by State

December 2025 – February 2026

Status Categories

- n/a: Not Applicable; no issues reported for that State.
- Good: Issue(s) reported and resolved within 30 days.
- Fair: Issue(s) reported during the evaluated month.
- Poor: Reported issue(s) are more than one month old.

Good: All Reported Issue(s) Resolved	Fair: Issue(s) Reported in Evaluated Month	Poor: Issue(s) More Than One Month Old
--------------------------------------	--	--

State	Core Certified	December Status	January Status	February Status
AK	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AZ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
CA	Yes	Good	Good	Fair
CO	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
CT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
FL	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
GA	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
ID	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
IN	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
KS	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
KY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA	Yes	n/a	n/a	Fair
MA	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MD	Yes	Poor	Good	n/a
ME	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
MN	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
MO	Yes	Fair	Good	n/a
MS	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a

State	Core Certified	December Status	January Status	February Status
MT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NC	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
ND	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
NH	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
NM	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
NV	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NY	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
OH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OK	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	No	Good	Good	n/a
RI	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
SC	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
SD	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
TN	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
VA	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
VT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WA	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WI	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
WV	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a

Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures

Since 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed State ITD data submitted to SAFER and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. The development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures and associated modifications to the monthly DQ report are summarized here.



- **June 2015 report:** The initial DQ measures evaluated T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction). Preliminary State ratings based on April 2015 data were presented in June at the FY 2015 ITD Workshop and the first monthly DQ report was published the same month.
- **August 2015 report:** A new indicator of State responsiveness to individual DQ issues reported to Volpe via the DQ Communication Process. Results are NOT included in the Overall Measure rating.
- **October 2015 report:** A second set of measures like the T-22 measures to evaluate T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction). **Note:** The introduction of the T-19 measures necessitated a change to the T-22 measure of Baseline Frequency (M5), which did not distinguish between baselines of IRP and IFTA data. Going forward, IRP and IFTA baselines will be tracked and evaluated separately.
- **February 2016 report:** A modification to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. In March 2016, the T-19 Validity Measure (M4) was reassessed. Given the variations across States in reporting of the measure's evaluated fields, an accurate rating could not be assigned to all States; thus, M4 was removed from the calculation of the T-19 Overall rating. These changes were implemented with the February 2016 evaluation, but monthly ratings were adjusted going back to October 2015.
- **April 2020 report:** Modifications to the T-22 (IRP) Measures. By 2019, State ratings had improved significantly. While most States were regularly achieving Good Overall ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) measures, and adjusted the calculation of the Overall rating to put more emphasis on successful uploads (M2).

Adjusted Calculation of T-22 Overall Rating – April 2020

T-22 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	20%	15%
M2	20%	30%
M3	20%	No change
M4	30%	No change
M5	10%	5%

Changes to Selected T-22 Individual Rating Ranges – April 2020

T-22 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1 Timeliness	Previous: $\geq 100\%$ April 2020: No change	Previous: 77-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 76\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% April 2020: 100%	Previous: 90-95% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$
M4 Validity	Previous: 100% April 2020: No change	Previous: 90-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$

- **September 2021 report:** Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. On June 1, 2021, to ensure consistent data for the T-19 Validity Measure (M4), the “IFTA account expiration date” field was made mandatory in SAFER. After a three-month preview period, all CVIEW-certified, non-exempt States began receiving an M4 rating and the M4 measure was again included in the T-19 Overall rating calculation. (See “February 2016 report” above for background.)

Adjusted Calculation of T-19 Overall Rating – September 2021

T-19 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	30%	15%
M2	30%	No change
M3	30%	20%
M4	Excluded	30%
M5	10%	5%

- **December 2021 report:** Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. By late 2021, while most States regularly achieved Good Overall T-19 (IFTA) ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications implemented with the December 2021 evaluation raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) Measures to align with the T-22 (IRP) Measures.

Changes to Selected T-19 Individual Rating Ranges – December 2021

T-19 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1 Timeliness	Previous: $\geq 100\%$ Dec. 2021: No change	Previous: 77-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 76\%$ Dec. 2021: $\leq 94\%$
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% Dec. 2021: 100%	Previous: 90-95% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ Dec. 2021: $\leq 94\%$
M4 Validity	Previous: 100% Dec. 2021: No change	Previous: 90-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ Dec. 2021: $\leq 94\%$

- **August 2022 report:** Modifications to both the T-19 (IFTA) and T-22 (IRP) Measures. As a follow-on to the December 2021 changes, the rating ranges for both Overall Ratings were adjusted to raise the bar of the Good, Fair, and Poor ratings.

Adjustments to Overall Rating Ranges – August 2022

Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
Previous: 2.5 – 3.0 Aug. 2022: 2.7 – 3.0	Previous: 2.0 – 2.4 Aug. 2022: 2.3 – 2.6	Previous: ≤ 1.9 Aug. 2022: ≤ 2.2