

ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

Contents

ITD DQ Initiative	1
Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures	1
Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA)	2
Individual Measures – T-19	2
Overall Rating – T-19	2
Overall Ratings – T-19 (IFTA)	3
State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings November 2023 – January 2024	3
Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)	4
M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19	4
M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads - T-19	5
M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19	6
M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19	7
M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19	8
Overview of DQ Measures – T-22 (IRP)	9
Individual Measures – T-22	9
Overall Measure – T-22.	9
Overall Ratings – T-22 (IRP)	10
State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings November 2023 – January 2024	10
Individual Ratings by State – T-22 (IRP)	11
M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22	11
M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22	12
M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22	13
M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22	14
M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22	15
Additional Information	16
SAFER Processing Report	16
Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues	16
Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures	18

ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

January 2024

This report presents the results of the January 2024 State Data Quality (DQ) evaluation. It also provides background on the purpose, development, and methodology of the State DQ Measures.

ITD DQ Initiative

Data quality is a top priority of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) and the Innovative Technology Deployment (ITD) Program. The ITD DQ Improvement Initiative was developed by the ITD Support team at the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe), in coordination with an ACCB¹ DQ Working Group, to identify and resolve DQ issues. The ITD Support team developed DQ measures, standards, and evaluation methodologies, which were reviewed and accepted by the ACCB. Since June 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. Monthly reports provide DQ ratings generated by:

- The DQ Performance Measures, which rate the quality of IFTA² and IRP³ credential data uploaded by ITD States to SAFER via the T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction) and the T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction).
- The DQ Communication Process, which communicates, and monitors correction of, individual Statereported DQ issues.

Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures

The purpose of the ITD DQ Performance Measures is not to be punitive, but to highlight data quality issues and prompt States to investigate causes. The measures were designed to address expectations of the ITD Program as well as issues raised by States during program workshops and ACCB meetings; the design was also informed by the DQ Communication Process. Ongoing discussion is encouraged as the measures evolve with the needs of the Program and the ITD community.

See below for a high-level timeline of the development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures. The appendix to this report provides details.



¹ ACCB - ITD Architecture Change Control Board.

² IFTA – International Fuel Tax Association.

³ IRP - International Registration Plan.

Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA)

The T-19 DQ Measures rate the quality of IFTA data uploaded by IFTA Commercial Vehicle Information Exchange Window (CVIEW)-certified States to FMCSA's Safety and Fitness Electronic Records (SAFER). Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IFTA CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall T-19 rating is calculated from the individual rating values.

Individual Measures – T-19

The T-19 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

T-19 Measures and Rating Calculations/Values - Effective December 2021

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-19 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percent of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	M1 = # uploads / # business days Good if M1 % >= 100 Fair if 95 <= M1 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M1 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percent of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	M2 = # applied / # received, 0, if # received = 0 Good if M2 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M2 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M2 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error "Older than existing data." Source: Log files. Counts of error message "Older than existing data."	M3 = 100% - (# rejected_error / # received), 0, if # received = 0 Good if M3 % = 100 Fair if 98 <= M3 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M3 % <= 97	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
4 Validity: IFTA Exp. Date/ Status	IFTA account exp. date and status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-19 Interstate records (Active account status) that show valid account (exp. date not expired). Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, interstate flag, IFTA exp. date, IFTA status.	M4 = 100% - (# count / # active), 0, if # active = 0 Good if M4 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M4 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M4 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	M5 = 2, if exempt M5 = 1, if baseline last 12 mos. M5 = 0, if no baseline last 12 mos.	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Rating – T-19

The T-19 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-19 Overall Rating Calculation - Effective August 2022

Individual T-19 Rating Values	Measure Weights	Overall Rating Calculation	Overall Rating Ranges
Good = 3	M1: Timely Uploads = 15%	(M1 Rating Value * 15%)	Good: 2.7 – 3.0
Fair = 2	M2: Completeness = 30%	+ (M2 Rating Value * 30%)	Fair: 2.3 – 2.6
Poor = 1	M3: Accuracy = 20%	+ (M3 Rating Value * 20%)	Poor: ≤2.2
	M4: Validity = 30%	+ (M4 Rating Value * 30*)	
	M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	+ (M5 Rating Value * 5%)	
		If calculated Overall Rating is <1, = 0	

Overall Ratings - T-19 (IFTA)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State's Core and CVIEW certification status along with its Overall ratings.

State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤ 2.2

	ī		,		
STATE	Core Certified	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. Overall Rating	Dec. Overall Rating	Jan. Overall Rating
AK*	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AZ	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.1
CA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
со	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	2.7
СТ	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.7	2.7
DC	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
GA	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.6	2.6
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ID	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.9	2.7
IL	Yes	Yes	2.9	3.0	2.7
IN	Yes	Yes	2.6	1.6	3.0
KS	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.9	2.7
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	1.7
LA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.0
MA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
МІ	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MN	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
МО	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.2

					u.
	Core	IFTA	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
STATE	Certified	CVIEW	Overall	Overall	Overall
	Certified	Certified	Rating	Rating	Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ND	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	2.5	2.5	3.0
NM	Yes	Yes	2.8	2.9	2.7
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
ОН	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
OK	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
OR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
PA	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
SC	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TX	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.7
VA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VT	Yes	Yes	2.1	2.1	3.0
WA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WI	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.5	2.5
wv	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading n/a = Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

National Counts of T-19 Overall Rating Ranges November 2023 – January 2024

Nov. Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Dec. Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Jan. Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified
Good	39	Good	39	Good	39
Fair	6	Fair	5	Fair	3
Poor	1	Poor	2	Poor	4
Total	46	Total	46	Total	46

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total count of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

Frequency Standard = 21: January had 23 weekdays, 2 Federal holidays, and no SAFER

down days.

Methodology: Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percent of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 1/1/2024 – 1/31/2024.

Rating Ranges:

Good: ≥ 100%
Fair: 95% – 99%
Poor: ≤ 94%

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19 State Ratings November 2023 – January 2024

Good: ≥ 100% Fair: 95 - 99% Poor: ≤ 94%

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. Uploads % of Standard	Dec. Uploads % of Standard	Jan. Uploads % of Standard	
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a	
AL	Yes	150	130	124	
AR	Yes	130	155	148	
AZ	Yes	105	125	81	
CA	Yes	105	155	148	
со	Yes	90	105	81	
СТ	Yes	95	90	86	
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	
DE	Yes	135	135	133	
FL	Yes	110	100	105	
GA	Yes	95	100	90	
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	
IA	Yes	115	120	119	
ID	Yes	90	100	86	
IL	Yes	95	105	90	
IN	Yes	40	0	105	
KS	Yes	90	95	90	
KY	Yes	130	145	148	
LA	Yes	135	155	138	
MA	Yes	105	105	90	
MD	Yes	140	150	148	
ME	Yes	115	105	143	
MI	Yes	145	150	148	
MN	Yes	100	105	86	
МО	Yes	140	150	129	
MS	Yes	95	95	57	
2/2 - Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Cortified or Insufficient					

MT	Yes	140	150	138
NC	Yes	105	155	148
ND	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	110	125	129
NH	Yes	130	120	148
NJ	Yes	150	150	143
NM	Yes	95	95	76
NV	Yes	140	120	148
NY	Yes	110	100	95
ОН	Yes	100	100	95
OK	Yes	100	105	95
OR	Yes	120	135	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	135	150	124
SC	Yes	125	145	138
SD	Yes	140	145	148
TN	Yes	150	155	148
TX	Yes	115	105	105
UT	Yes	100	85	90
VA	Yes	150	150	148
VT	Yes	50	85	133
WA	Yes	110	110	105
WI	Yes	105	105	105
wv	Yes	120	120	124
WY	Yes	125	140	143

Nov.

Uploads

% of

Standard

IFTA

CVIEW

Certified

STATE

Dec.

Uploads

% of

Standard

Jan.

Uploads

% of

Standard

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

^{*} AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads - T-19

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER

(not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 1/1/2024 – 1/31/2024.

Rating Ranges:

Good: 100%Fair: 95 – 99%Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads - T-19 State Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%

			I	
STATE	IFTA CVIEW	Nov. % Successful	Dec. % Successful	Jan. % Successful
	Certified	Uploads	Uploads	Uploads
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	100	100
со	Yes	100	100	100
СТ	Yes	100	100	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	0	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	91
LA	Yes	100	100	96
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
МО	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	98
MT	Yes	100	100	100

		1		
STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Successful Uploads	Dec. % Successful Uploads	Jan. % Successful Uploads
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	98	99	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
ОН	Yes	100	100	100
ОК	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	99
SC	Yes	99	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	10	84	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	99	99
wv	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.* AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency - T-19

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error "Older than

existing data."

Source: Error logs, 1/1/2024 – 1/31/2024.

Rating Ranges:

Good: 100%Fair: 98 – 99%Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency - T-19 State Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: 100% Fair: 98 - 99% Poor: ≤ 97%

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Current	Dec. % Current	Jan. % Current
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	100	100
со	Yes	100	100	100
СТ	Yes	100	100	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	0	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
КҮ	Yes	100	100	91
LA	Yes	100	100	96
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
МІ	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
МО	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	98
MT	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Current	Dec. % Current	Jan. % Current
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	98	99	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
ОН	Yes	100	100	100
ОК	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	99	99
wv	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100
n/a - Nat	ا بمالممنامما	VIat CVIEVA C	artified or Inc	oufficient Det

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data. WS = FL uses web service.

^{*} AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status - T-19

Standard: IFTA account validity and IFTA status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of active T-19 Interstate records (IFTA status of Active) that show a valid

IFTA account.

Source: SAFER, 2/5/2024. (Query of carrier IFTA status code, account number, and expiration date.)

Rating Ranges:

Good: 100%Fair: 95 – 99%Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status - T-19 State Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Note: As of the **April 2018** evaluation, the T-19 M4 measure allows for a 60-day grace period, which is the IFTA standard; previously, this measure allowed for a 30-day grace period.

Good: 100%

Fair: 95 - 99%

Poor: ≤ 94%

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Aligned	Dec. % Aligned	Jan. % Aligned
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	52	78	84
CA ²	Yes	100	100	100
со	Yes	100	100	100
СТ	Yes	100	100	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	88	87	88
GA	Yes	98	99	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	99	99
LA	Yes	100	100	97
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
МО	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data	١.
¹ AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IETA unloading.	

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Aligned	Dec. % Aligned	Jan. % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC ²	Yes	100	100	100
ND	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
ОН	Yes	100	100	100
ОК	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
wv	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

² CA and NC IFTA data is sent directly from IFTA clearinghouse.

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency - T-19

Standard: Annual IFTA baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

• **Good:** State conducted an IFTA baseline at least once in the past 12 months.

 Poor: State did not conduct a baseline at least once in the past 12 months.

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19 State Ratings November 2023 – January 2024

STATE	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24
CA	DUE		
СО	DUE		
KS			DUE
MD			DUE
MN			DUE
MS	DUE		
NE	DUE		
NY		DUE	
ОН		DUE	
ОК		DUE	
TN		DUE	
UT	DUE		
VA			DUE
WI		DUE	

Good: Baseline in	Poor: No Baseline	Good: Baseline
Last 12 Months	in Last 12 Months	Due Soon

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. Rating	Dec. Rating	Jan. Rating
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CA ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
со	Yes	Good	Good	Good
СТ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Good	Poor	Poor
н	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
МІ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
МО	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good

 $\mbox{n/a}=\mbox{Not Applicable:}$ Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data. $^1\mbox{AK}$ is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	Nov. Rating	Dec. Rating	Jan. Rating	
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
NC ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
ND	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	
NE	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
NJ	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
NM	Yes	Poor	Good	Good	
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
NY	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
ОН	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
ОК	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a	
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
SD				3 00 u	
1	Yes	Good	Good	Good	
TN	Yes Yes	Good Good	Good Good		
_				Good	
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good Good	
TN TX	Yes Yes	Good Good	Good Good	Good Good Good	
TN TX UT	Yes Yes Yes	Good Good	Good Good Good	Good Good Good	
TN TX UT VA	Yes Yes Yes	Good Good Good	Good Good Good	Good Good Good Good	
TN TX UT VA VT	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Good Good Good Good	Good Good Good Good	Good Good Good Good Good	
TN TX UT VA VT WA	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Good Good Good Good Good	Good Good Good Good Good	Good Good Good Good Good Good	

 $^{^2\,\}text{CA},\,\text{FL},\,\text{and NC}$ are exempt from baselining so receive credit for purposes of calculating the Overall rating.

Overview of DQ Measures - T-22 (IRP)

The T-22 DQ Measures rate the quality of IRP data uploaded by IRP CVIEW-certified States to SAFER. Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IRP CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall rating is calculated from individual rating values.

Individual Measures - T-22

The T-22 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

ITD Data Quality Measures - T-22 (IRP) - Effective April 2020

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-22 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percent of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	M1 = # uploads / # business days Good if M1 % >= 100 Fair if 95 <= M1% <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M1 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percent of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	M2 = # applied / # received, 0, if # received = 0 Good if M2 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M2 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M2 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-22 records that were not rejected with the error "Older than existing data." Source: Log files. Counts of error message "Older than existing data."	M3 = 100% - (# rejected_error / # received), 0, if # received = 0 Good if M3 % = 100 Fair if 98 <= M3 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M3 % <= 97	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1 0, else
4 Validity: Vehicle Reg./IRP Status	Vehicle reg. status and IRP status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-22 Interstate records (Active IRP status) that show valid vehicle registration. Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, interstate flag, reg. status, IRP status.	M4 = 100% - (# count / # active), 0, if # active = 0 Good if M4 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M4 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M4 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	M5 = 2, if exempt M5 = 1, if baseline in last 12 mos. M5 = 0, if no baseline in last 12 mos. Good if M5 = 1 or 2 Poor if M5 = 0	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Measure - T-22

The T-22 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-22 Overall Rating Calculation – Effective August 2022

Individual T-22 Rating Values
Good = 3
Fair = 2
Poor = 1

Measure Weights	
M1: Timely Uploads = 15%	
M2: Completeness = 30%	
M3: Accuracy = 20%	
M4: Validity = 30%	
M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	

Overall Rating Calculation
(M1 Rating Value * 15%)
+ (M2 Rating Value * 30%)
+ (M3 Rating Value * 20%)
+ (M4 Rating Value * 30*)
+ (M5 Rating Value * 5%)
If calculated Overall Rating is <1, = 0

Overall Rating Ranges
Good: 2.7 – 3.0
Fair: 2.3 – 2.6
Poor: ≤2.2

Overall Ratings - T-22 (IRP)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State's Core and CVIEW certification status, along with its Overall ratings.

State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤ 2.2

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. Overall Rating	Dec. Overall Rating	Jan. Overall Rating
AK	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	2.7
AL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ΑZ	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
CA	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.5	3.0
со	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	3.0
СТ	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
DC	No	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
GA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
ID	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	3.0
IL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
IN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.4
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
LA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
МІ	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MN	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
МО	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. Overall Rating	Dec. Overall Rating	Jan. Overall Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.9
ND	No	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.3
NE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	3.0
NM	Yes	Yes	2.3	3.0	3.0
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ОН	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ОК	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.4
OR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
PA	No	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.9
RI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TX	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
VA	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
VT	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.7	3.0
WA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
wv	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

National Counts of T-22 Overall Rating Ranges November 2023 – January 2024

Nov. Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	Dec. Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	Jan. Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified
Good	43	Good	44	Good	45
Fair	6	Fair	5	Fair	4
Poor	0	Poor	0	Poor	0
Total	49	Total	49	Total	49

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total number of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State - T-22 (IRP)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency - T-22

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

- Frequency Standard = 20: January had 23 weekdays, 2 Federal holidays, no SAFER down days, and 1 IDR down day.
- **Methodology:** Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percent of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 1/1/2024 – 1/31/2024.

Rating Ranges:

Good: ≥ 100%
Fair: 95 – 99%
Poor: ≤ 94%

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency - T-22 State Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: ≥ 100% Fair: 95 - 99% Poor: ≤ 94%

STATE IRP CVIEW Certified Nov. Uploads % of Stnd. Wo of Stnd. Uploads % of Stnd. Wo of Stnd. Uploads % of Stnd. % of Stnd. Wo of Stnd. Wo of Stnd. % of Stnd. <th></th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th>1</th> <th></th>		1	1	1	
Certified % of Stnd. % of Stnd. % of Stnd. AK Yes 145 155 150 AL Yes 145 155 155 AR Yes 140 145 155 AZ Yes 150 155 140 CA Yes 150 145 140 CO Yes 100 115 115 CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes 125 135 130 DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a HI No n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IL Yes 150					
AK Yes 145 155 150 AL Yes 145 155 155 AR Yes 140 145 155 AZ Yes 150 155 140 CA Yes 150 145 140 CO Yes 100 115 115 CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes n/a n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 130 115 KY Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 130 115 KY Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 MA Yes 150 150 155 MA Yes 125 130 125 MY Yes 150 150 155 MA Yes 125 135 135 MO Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MN Yes 150 155 155	STATE		•	•	•
AL Yes 145 155 155 AR Yes 140 145 155 AZ Yes 150 155 140 CA Yes 150 145 140 CO Yes 100 115 115 CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes n/a n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 130 115 KY Yes 150 150 155 KY Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 MA Yes 125 135 135 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 155 155 MN Yes 155 155 MN Yes 155 155			% of Stna.	% of Stna.	% of Stna.
AR Yes 140 145 155 AZ Yes 150 155 140 CA Yes 150 145 140 CO Yes 100 115 115 CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes n/a n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 130 155 KY Yes 150 150 155 KY Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 130 115 KY Yes 150 150 155 KM Yes 150 150 155 MA Yes 150 150 155 MA Yes 150 150 155 MM Yes 155 155 MM Yes 155 155 MM Yes 150 155 155	AK		145	155	150
AZ Yes 150 155 140 CA Yes 150 145 140 CO Yes 100 115 115 CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes n/a n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 130 115 KY Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 130 115 MA Yes 150 150 155 MM Yes 125 130 125 MM Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MM Yes 150 155 155	AL	Yes	145	155	155
CA Yes 150 145 140 CO Yes 100 115 115 CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 155 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135	AR	Yes	140	145	155
CO Yes 100 115 115 CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes n/a n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	ΑZ	Yes	150	155	140
CT Yes 125 135 130 DC Yes n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 155 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 155	CA	Yes	150	145	140
DC Yes n/a n/a n/a DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 155 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135	СО	Yes	100	115	115
DE Yes 125 130 130 FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155	СТ	Yes	125	135	130
FL Yes 150 150 145 GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 150 155 155	DC	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA Yes 150 155 155 HI No n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	DE	Yes	125	130	130
HI No n/a n/a n/a IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	FL	Yes	150	150	145
IA Yes 145 125 140 ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	GA	Yes	150	155	155
ID Yes 130 130 115 IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	н	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IL Yes 135 145 145 IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	IA	Yes	145	125	140
IN Yes 150 150 155 KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	ID	Yes	130	130	115
KS Yes 125 130 125 KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	IL	Yes	135	145	145
KY Yes 150 150 155 LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	IN	Yes	150	150	155
LA Yes 100 110 115 MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	KS	Yes	125	130	125
MA Yes 125 125 115 MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	KY	Yes	150	150	155
MD Yes 135 135 130 ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	LA	Yes	100	110	115
ME Yes 120 130 125 MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	MA	Yes	125	125	115
MI Yes 150 155 155 MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	MD	Yes	135	135	130
MN Yes 135 140 130 MO Yes 145 155 155	ME	Yes	120	130	125
MO Yes 145 155 155	MI	Yes	150	155	155
	MN	Yes	135	140	130
MS Yes 135 135 140	МО	Yes	145	155	155
	MS	Yes	135	135	140

Note: Some States upload on weekends/holidays.

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. Uploads % of Stnd.	Dec. Uploads % of Stnd.	Jan. Uploads % of Stnd.
MT	Yes	115	125	120
NC	Yes	145	150	150
ND	Yes	140	150	140
NE	Yes	145	150	150
NH	Yes	125	120	145
NJ	Yes	150	155	150
NM	Yes	110	115	100
NV	Yes	145	140	150
NY	Yes	150	155	155
ОН	Yes	145	155	140
ОК	Yes	150	130	150
OR	Yes	150	150	155
PA	Yes	130	150	145
RI	Yes	145	155	150
SC	Yes	135	135	135
SD	Yes	145	155	155
TN	Yes	135	140	135
TX	Yes	150	155	155
UT	Yes	135	145	140
VA	Yes	145	155	155
VT	Yes	95	115	110
WA	Yes	125	125	120
WI	Yes	115	130	115
WV	Yes	105	115	100
WY	Yes	115	140	155

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads - T-22

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER

(not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 1/1/2024 – 1/31/2024.

Rating Ranges:

Good: 100%Fair: 95 – 99%Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads - T-22 State Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: 100% Fair: 95 - 99% Poor: ≤ 94%

	IRP	Nov. %	Dec. %	Jan. %
STATE	CVIEW	Successful	Successful	Successful
	Certified	Uploads	Uploads	Uploads
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	99	100
со	Yes	100	100	100
СТ	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
МО	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

	IRP	Nov. %	Dec. %	Jan. %
STATE	CVIEW	Successful	Successful	Successful
	Certified	Uploads	Uploads	Uploads
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	99	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
ОН	Yes	100	100	100
ОК	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
wv	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency - T-22

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-22 records in SAFER that were not rejected with the error

"Older than existing data."

Source: Error logs, 1/1/2024 – 1/31/2024.

Rating Ranges:

Good: 100%Fair: 98 – 99%Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency - T-22 State Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: 100% Fair: 98 - 99% Poor: ≤ 97%

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Current	Dec. % Current	Jan. % Current
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
ΑZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	99	100
СО	Yes	100	100	100
СТ	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
ні	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
МІ	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
МО	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Current	Dec. % Current	Jan. % Current
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
ОН	Yes	100	100	100
ОК	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
sc	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
wv	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status - T-22

Standard: Vehicle registration status and IRP status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of active T-22 Interstate records (IRP status of Active) that show valid vehicle registration.

Source: SAFER, 2/5/2024. (Query of process time, interstate flag, registration status, IRP status.)

Rating Ranges:

Good: 100%Fair: 95 – 99%Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status - T-22 State Ratings November 2023 - January 2024

Good: 100% Fair: 95 - 99% Poor: ≤ 94%

State	IRP CVIEW	Nov. %	Dec. %	Jan. %
-	Certified	Aligned	Aligned	Aligned
AK	Yes	99	100	99
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
ΑZ	Yes	98	99	97
CA	Yes	100	100	100
СО	Yes	100	99	100
СТ	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	92	93	100
FL	Yes	59	60	62
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	97
ID	Yes	99	99	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS ¹	Yes	100	100	41
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
МО	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. % Aligned	Dec. % Aligned	Jan. % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	69	89	86
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	86	85	100
NM	Yes	99	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
ОН	Yes	100	100	100
OK ²	Yes	100	98	90
OR	Yes	100	100	97
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	99	100	100
VA	Yes	99	99	98
VT	Yes	95	95	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	99
wv	Yes	100	100	100
WY ¹	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

Note: Rating anomalies may result from the variety of renewal grace periods across States. While the M4 measure allows for a 30-day grace period, individual State grace periods range from 30 to 90 days.

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ ITD DQ team has been notified of State's renewal grace period of 90 days.

² ITD DQ team has been notified of State's renewal grace period of 60 days.

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency - T-22

Standard: Annual IRP baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

Good: State conducted an IRP baseline at least once

in the past 12 months.

• **Poor:** State did not conduct an IRP baseline in the past 12 months.

STATE	Feb-24	Mar-24	Apr-24
MA			DUE
MI			DUE
MN			DUE
NE		DUE	

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22 State Ratings November 2023 – January 2024

Good: Baseline in	Poor: No Baseline	Good: Baseline
Last 12 Months	in Last 12 Months	Due Soon

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. Rating	Dec. Rating	Jan. Rating
AK [*]	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
СО	Yes	Good	Good	Good
СТ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL*	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
н	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
МО	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	Nov. Rating	Dec. Rating	Jan. Rating
NC	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
ND	Yes	Good	Good	Poor
NE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NJ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NM	Yes	Poor	Good	Good
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ОН	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ОК	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
wv	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WY	Yes	Good	Good	Good

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

^{*} State is exempt from baselining so receives credit for purposes of calculating the Overall rating.

Additional Information

SAFER Processing Report

The ITD Support team is aware that data quality can be impacted by the availability and timeliness of SAFER processing. The ITD DQ Evaluation report includes the following information about baseline processing and CVIEW production for the evaluated month.

December	Count / Month
Baselining States	12
IRP Only: CA, DC, OH	3
IFTA Only: IN, RI, TX, WV	4
IFTA and IRP: DE, ID, NJ, OR, VT	5
Known Day(s) with Delays in CVIEW Production	0
Known Day(s) with Delays in IDR Production	1

Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues

The DQ Communication Process was developed and implemented to collect and report on specific data quality issues found by any State involving another State's data. Volpe collects and communicates State-reported findings, reaching out to responsible States to not only facilitate record correction, but to help the State identify conditions that may contribute to a larger data quality problem. This process depends on State participation, i.e., reporting findings and, if contacted by Volpe, promptly responding to issues. Since June 2014, Volpe has shared counts of reported and resolved issues at ITD Program Manager and ITD ACCB meetings; this indicator supplements that reporting.

Counts of Reported and Resolved DQ Issues

As of 2/21/2024:

- October 2023: 9 States with reported issues. 2/30 pending.
- November 2023: 13 States with reported issues. 1/50 pending.
- December 2023: 4 States with reported issues. 2/4 are pending.
- January 2024: 15 States with reported issues. 7/38 are still pending

Note: Starting with December 2022, DQ discrepancies reported by PrePass are included in these counts.

Responsiveness Indicator Criteria

The Responsiveness Indicator is described below in terms of the indicator's standard and methodology, and the status categories of Good, Fair, and Poor. The table that follows lists the status of the current evaluated month along with the previous two months', for reference.

- Standard: Resolve reported issue(s) within 30 days.
- **Methodology:** The number of days between a State being notified by Volpe of a DQ issue and resolving that issue is compared to the 30-day standard.

Responsiveness by State to Reported Data Quality Issues November 2023 – January 2024 Results

Status Categories

- Good: Issue(s) reported and resolved within 30 days.
- Fair: Issue(s) reported during the evaluated month.
- Poor: Reported issue(s) are more than one month old.
- n/a: Not Applicable; no issues reported for that State.

Good: All Reported Issue(s) Resolved Fair: Issue(s) Reported in Evaluated Month Poor: Issue(s) More Than One Month Old

State	Core	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.
	Certified	Status	Status	Status
AK	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
ΑZ	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
CA	Yes	Good	Fair	Poor
СО	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
СТ	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Fair	Poor	Good
FL	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
GA	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
ID	Yes	Good	n/a	Good
L	Yes	n/a	Fair	Poor
IN	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
KS	Yes	Fair	Poor	Poor
KY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA	Yes	n/a	n/a	Fair
MA	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MD	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
ME	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	Yes	Good	n/a	Good
MN	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
МО	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MS	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a

State	Core Certified	Nov. Status	Dec. Status	Jan. Status
MT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
				-
NC	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
ND	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NH	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NM	Yes	Poor	Good	Good
NV	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
NY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
ОН	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
OK	Yes	Fair	Poor	Good
OR	Yes	Good	n/a	Good
PA	No	Fair	Poor	Good
RI	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
SC	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
SD	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
VA	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
VT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
WI	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
WV	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a

Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures

Since 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed State ITD data submitted to SAFER and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. The development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures and associated modifications to the monthly DQ report are summarized here.



- June 2015 report: The initial DQ measures evaluated T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction).
 Preliminary State ratings based on April 2015 data were presented in June at the FY 2015 ITD Workshop and the first monthly DQ report was published the same month.
- August 2015 report: A new indicator of State responsiveness to individual DQ issues reported to Volpe
 via the DQ Communication Process. Results are NOT included in the Overall Measure rating.
- October 2015 report: A second set of measures like the T-22 measures to evaluate T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction). Note: The introduction of the T-19 measures necessitated a change to the T-22 measure of Baseline Frequency (M5), which did not distinguish between baselines of IRP and IFTA data. Going forward, IRP and IFTA baselines will be tracked and evaluated separately.
- **February 2016 report:** A modification to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. In March 2016, the T-19 Validity Measure (M4) was reassessed. Given the variations across States in reporting of the measure's evaluated fields, an accurate rating could not be assigned to all States; thus, M4 was removed from the calculation of the T-19 Overall rating. These changes were implemented with the February 2016 evaluation, but monthly ratings were adjusted going back to October 2015.
- April 2020 report: Modifications to the T-22 (IRP) Measures. By 2019, State ratings had improved significantly. While most States were regularly achieving Good Overall ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) measures, and adjusted the calculation of the Overall rating to put more emphasis on successful uploads (M2).

Adjusted Calculation of T-22 Overall Rating - April 2020

T-22 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	20%	15%
M2	20%	30%
М3	20%	No change
M4	30%	No change
M5	10%	5%

Changes to Selected T-22 Individual Rating Ranges - April 2020

T-22 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1 Timeliness	Previous: ≥ 100% April 2020: No change	Previous: 77-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 76% April 2020: ≤ 94%
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% April 2020: 100%	Previous: 90-95% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 89% April 2020: ≤ 94%
M4 Validity	Previous: 100% April 2020: No change	Previous: 90-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 89% April 2020: ≤ 94%

• September 2021 report: Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. On June 1, 2021, to ensure consistent data for the T-19 Validity Measure (M4), the "IFTA account expiration date" field was made mandatory in SAFER. After a three-month preview period, all CVIEW-certified, non-exempt States began receiving an M4 rating and the M4 measure was again included in the T-19 Overall rating calculation. (See "February 2016 report" above for background.)

Adjusted Calculation of T-19 Overall Rating - September 2021

T-19 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	30%	15%
M2	30%	No change
М3	30%	20%
M4	Excluded	30%
M5	10%	5%

• **December 2021 report:** Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. By late 2021, while most States regularly achieved Good Overall T-19 (IFTA) ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications implemented with the December 2021 evaluation raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) Measures to align with the T-22 (IRP) Measures.

Changes to Selected T-19 Individual Rating Ranges - December 2021

T-19 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1	Previous: ≥ 100%	Previous: 77-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 76%
Timeliness	Dec. 2021: No change		Dec. 2021: ≤ 94%
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% Dec. 2021: 100%	Previous: 90-95% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 89% Dec. 2021: ≤ 94%
M4	Previous: 100%	Previous: 90-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 89%
Validity	Dec. 2021: No change		Dec. 2021: ≤ 94%

• August 2022 report: Modifications to both the T-19 (IFTA) and T-22 (IRP) Measures. As a follow-on to the December 2021 changes, the rating ranges for both Overall Ratings were adjusted to raise the bar of the Good, Fair, and Poor ratings.

Adjustments to Overall Rating Ranges - August 2022

Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
Previous: 2.5 – 3.0	Previous: 2.0 – 2.4	Previous: ≤ 1.9
Aug. 2022: 2.7 – 3.0	Aug. 2022: 2.3 – 2.6	Aug. 2022: ≤ 2.2