



U.S. Department
of Transportation

Federal Motor
Carrier Safety
Administration



ITD **INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGY
DEPLOYMENT**

ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

JUNE | 2025

Contents

- ITD DQ Initiative1
- Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures1
- Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA).....2
 - Individual Measures – T-19.....2
 - Overall Rating – T-19.....2
- Overall Ratings – T-19 (IFTA)3
 - State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings April – June 20253
- Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)4
 - M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19.....4
 - M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19.....5
 - M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19.....6
 - M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19.....7
 - M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19.....8
- Overview of DQ Measures – T-22 (IRP).....9
 - Individual Measures – T-22.....9
 - Overall Measure – T-229
- Overall Ratings – T-22 (IRP) 10
 - State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings April – June 2025 10
- Individual Ratings by State – T-22 (IRP) 11
 - M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22..... 11
 - M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22..... 12
 - M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22..... 13
 - M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22..... 14
 - M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22 15
- Additional Information 16
 - SAFER Processing Report 16
 - Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues 16
- Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures 18

ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

June 2025

This report presents the results of the June 2025 State Data Quality (DQ) evaluation. It also provides background on the purpose, development, and methodology of the State DQ Measures.

ITD DQ Initiative

Data quality is a top priority of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) and the Innovative Technology Deployment (ITD) Program. The ITD DQ Improvement Initiative was developed by the ITD Support team at the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe), in coordination with an ACCB¹ DQ Working Group, to identify and resolve DQ issues. The ITD Support team developed DQ measures, standards, and evaluation methodologies, which were reviewed and accepted by the ACCB. Since June 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. Monthly reports provide DQ ratings generated by:

- The DQ Performance Measures, which rate the quality of IFTA² and IRP³ credential data uploaded by ITD States to SAFER via the T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction) and the T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction).
- The DQ Communication Process, which communicates, and monitors correction of, individual State-reported DQ issues.

Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures

The purpose of the ITD DQ Performance Measures is not to be punitive, but to highlight data quality issues and prompt States to investigate causes. The measures were designed to address expectations of the ITD Program as well as issues raised by States during program workshops and ACCB meetings; the design was also informed by the DQ Communication Process. Ongoing discussion is encouraged as the measures evolve with the needs of the Program and the ITD community.

See below for a high-level timeline of the development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures. The appendix to this report provides details.



¹ ACCB – ITD Architecture Change Control Board.

² IFTA – International Fuel Tax Association.

³ IRP – International Registration Plan.

Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA)

The T-19 DQ Measures rate the quality of IFTA data uploaded by IFTA Commercial Vehicle Information Exchange Window (CVIEW)-certified States to FMCSA’s Safety and Fitness Electronic Records (SAFER). Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IFTA CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall T-19 rating is calculated from the individual rating values.

Individual Measures – T-19

The T-19 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

T-19 Measures and Rating Calculations/Values – Effective December 2021

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-19 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percentage of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	M1 = # uploads / # business days Good if M1 % >= 100 Fair if 95 <= M1 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M1 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percentage of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	M2 = # applied / # received, 0, if # received = 0 Good if M2 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M2 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M2 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.” Source: Log files. Counts of error message “Older than existing data.”	M3 = 100% - (# rejected_error / # received), 0, if # received = 0 Good if M3 % = 100 Fair if 98 <= M3 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M3 % <= 97	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
4 Validity: IFTA Exp. Date./ Status	IFTA account exp. date and status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-19 Interstate records (Active account status) that show valid account (exp. date not expired). Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, Interstate flag, IFTA exp. date, IFTA status.	M4 = 100% - (# count / # active), 0, if # active = 0 Good if M4 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M4 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M4 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	M5 = 2, if exempt M5 = 1, if baseline last 12 mos. M5 = 0, if no baseline last 12 mos.	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Rating – T-19

The T-19 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-19 Overall Rating Calculation – Effective August 2022

Individual T-19 Rating Values	Measure Weights	Overall Rating Calculation	Overall Rating Ranges
Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1	M1: Timely Uploads = 15% M2: Completeness = 30% M3: Accuracy = 20% M4: Validity = 30% M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	(M1 Rating Value * 15%) + (M2 Rating Value * 30%) + (M3 Rating Value * 20%) + (M4 Rating Value * 30%) + (M5 Rating Value * 5%) If calculated Overall Rating is <1, = 0	Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤2.2

Overall Ratings – T-19 (IFTA)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State’s Core and CVIEW certification status along with its Overall ratings.

State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings April – June 2025

Good: 2.7 – 3.0	Fair: 2.3 – 2.6	Poor: ≤ 2.2
-----------------	-----------------	-------------

STATE	Core Certified	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
AK*	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AZ	Yes	Yes	2.3	2.3	2.3
CA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
CO	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.7
CT	Yes	Yes	2.4	3.0	2.7
DC	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	1.9	2.4	2.7
GA	Yes	Yes	2.3	2.5	2.5
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ID	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.7	2.9
IL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
IN	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.6	2.6
KS	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.7	2.7
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.5	3.0
LA	Yes	Yes	2.3	2.6	3.0
MA	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.7	2.6
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MN	No	Yes	2.8	2.9	3.0
MO	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	2.2	3.0	3.0

STATE	Core Certified	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
ND	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
NE	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.3	2.7
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NM	Yes	Yes	2.3	2.3	2.3
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.9
OH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
OK	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
OR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
PA	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
SC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TX	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.7	3.0
VA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VT	Yes	Yes	2.6	3.0	3.0
WA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WI	Yes	Yes	2.6	3.0	3.0
WV	Yes	Yes	2.9	3.0	3.0
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

*AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.
n/a = Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

National Counts of T-19 Overall Rating Ranges April – June 2025

April Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	May Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	June Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified
Good	32	Good	39	Good	42
Fair	13	Fair	8	Fair	5
Poor	2	Poor	0	Poor	0
Total	47	Total	47	Total	47

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total count of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

Frequency Standard = 20: June had 21 weekdays, 1 Federal holiday, and no SAFER down days.

Methodology: Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percentage of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 6/1/2025 – 6/30/2025.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: ≥ 100%
- Fair: 95% – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: ≥ 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
--------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Standard	May Uploads % of Standard	June Uploads % of Standard
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	132	148	140
AR	Yes	136	143	150
AZ	Yes	123	124	125
CA	Yes	132	148	125
CO	Yes	100	81	85
CT	Yes	82	100	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	136	138	120
FL	Yes	114	100	135
GA	Yes	82	95	95
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	136	143	140
ID	Yes	95	105	95
IL	Yes	105	105	100
IN	Yes	123	129	130
KS	Yes	95	100	100
KY	Yes	114	143	145
LA	Yes	100	105	105
MA	Yes	95	105	95
MD	Yes	136	148	140
ME	Yes	136	143	110
MI	Yes	136	148	140
MN	Yes	95	105	105
MO	Yes	114	114	130
MS	Yes	95	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Standard	May Uploads % of Standard	June Uploads % of Standard
MT	Yes	109	138	130
NC	Yes	136	148	125
ND	Yes	136	148	150
NE	Yes	105	76	70
NH	Yes	136	133	145
NJ	Yes	136	133	145
NM	Yes	91	86	75
NV	Yes	136	129	140
NY	Yes	100	105	105
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	105	110
OR	Yes	100	119	115
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	136	138	145
SC	Yes	100	110	115
SD	Yes	136	148	150
TN	Yes	136	148	135
TX	Yes	100	105	105
UT	Yes	91	100	100
VA	Yes	132	138	145
VT	Yes	136	133	115
WA	Yes	136	143	140
WI	Yes	95	105	105
WV	Yes	136	148	150
WY	Yes	136	143	150

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER. (not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2025 – 6/30/2025.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	100	99
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	99	100	99
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	98	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	99	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	97	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	98	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	99	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.”

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2025 – 6/30/2025.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 98 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: 100%	Fair: 98 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 97%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	100	100
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	98	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	99	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	97	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

WS = FL uses web service.

* AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19

Standard: IFTA account validity and IFTA status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of active T-19 Interstate records (IFTA status of Active) that show a valid IFTA account.

Source: SAFER, 6/3/2025. (Query of carrier IFTA status code, account number, and expiration date.)

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2025

Note: As of the **April 2018** evaluation, the T-19 M4 measure allows for a 60-day grace period, which is the IFTA standard; previously, this measure allowed for a 30-day grace period.

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	84	85	86
CA ²	Yes	100	100	100
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	75	77	96
GA	Yes	96	97	97
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	99	99	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	99	99	99
KS	Yes	98	99	99
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	5	97	100
MA	Yes	98	98	99
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC ²	Yes	97	97	97
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	99	99	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	98	98	98
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	99	99	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	99	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	99	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

¹ AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

² CA and NC IFTA data is sent directly from IFTA clearinghouse.

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19

Standard: Annual IFTA baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

- **Good:** State conducted an IFTA baseline at least once in the past 12 months.
- **Poor:** State did not conduct a baseline at least once in the past 12 months.

STATE	Jul-25	Aug-25	Sep-25
AR		DUE	
MA		DUE	
NC	DUE		

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: Baseline in Last 12 Months	Poor: No Baseline in Last 12 Months	Good: Baseline Due Soon
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
CA ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
MA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MN	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
MO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NC ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ND	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NE	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NJ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NM	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NY	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
OH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OK	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VT	Yes	Poor	Good	Good
WA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WV	Yes	Poor	Good	Good
WY	Yes	Good	Good	Good

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

¹ AK is CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

² CA and NC are exempt from baselining so receive credit for purposes of calculating the Overall rating

Overview of DQ Measures – T-22 (IRP)

The T-22 DQ Measures rate the quality of IRP data uploaded by IRP CVIEW-certified States to SAFER. Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IRP CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall rating is calculated from individual rating values.

Individual Measures – T-22

The T-22 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

ITD Data Quality Measures – T-22 (IRP) – Effective April 2020

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-22 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percentage of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	$M1 = \# \text{ uploads} / \# \text{ business days}$ Good if $M1 \% \geq 100$ Fair if $95 \leq M1 \% \leq 99$ Poor if $0 \leq M1 \% \leq 94$	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percentage of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	$M2 = \# \text{ applied} / \# \text{ received}, 0, \text{ if } \# \text{ received} = 0$ Good if $M2 \% = 100$ Fair if $95 \leq M2 \% \leq 99$ Poor if $0 \leq M2 \% \leq 94$	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-22 records that were not rejected with the error "Older than existing data." Source: Log files. Counts of error message "Older than existing data."	$M3 = 100\% - (\# \text{ rejected_error} / \# \text{ received}), 0, \text{ if } \# \text{ received} = 0$ Good if $M3 \% = 100$ Fair if $98 \leq M3 \% \leq 99$ Poor if $0 \leq M3 \% \leq 97$	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1 0, else
4 Validity: Vehicle Reg./IRP Status	Vehicle reg. status and IRP status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-22 Interstate records (Active IRP status) that show valid vehicle registration. Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, Interstate flag, reg. status, IRP status.	$M4 = 100\% - (\# \text{ count} / \# \text{ active}), 0, \text{ if } \# \text{ active} = 0$ Good if $M4 \% = 100$ Fair if $95 \leq M4 \% \leq 99$ Poor if $0 \leq M4 \% \leq 94$	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	$M5 = 2, \text{ if exempt}$ $M5 = 1, \text{ if baseline in last 12 mos.}$ $M5 = 0, \text{ if no baseline in last 12 mos.}$ Good if $M5 = 1 \text{ or } 2$ Poor if $M5 = 0$	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Measure – T-22

The T-22 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-22 Overall Rating Calculation – Effective August 2022

Individual T-22 Rating Values	Measure Weights	Overall Rating Calculation	Overall Rating Ranges
Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1	M1: Timely Uploads = 15% M2: Completeness = 30% M3: Accuracy = 20% M4: Validity = 30% M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	$(M1 \text{ Rating Value} * 15\%)$ $+ (M2 \text{ Rating Value} * 30\%)$ $+ (M3 \text{ Rating Value} * 20\%)$ $+ (M4 \text{ Rating Value} * 30\%)$ $+ (M5 \text{ Rating Value} * 5\%)$ If calculated Overall Rating is <1 , = 0	Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤ 2.2

Overall Ratings – T-22 (IRP)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State’s Core and CVIEW certification status, along with its Overall ratings.

State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings April – June 2025

Good: 2.7 – 3.0	Fair: 2.3 – 2.6	Poor: ≤ 2.2
-----------------	-----------------	-------------

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
AK	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
AL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AZ	Yes	Yes	2.3	2.3	2.3
CA	Yes	Yes	2.5	2.2	3.0
CO	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
CT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
DC	No	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
GA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
ID	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
IL	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
IN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
LA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MN	No	Yes	2.9	2.9	3.0
MO	Yes	Yes	2.4	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ND*	Yes	Yes	2.2	2.2	2.2
NE	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.5
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.7
NM	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.7	2.7
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
OH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
OK	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
OR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
PA	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
RI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SC	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TX	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VA†	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.5	2.5
VT	Yes	Yes	2.9	3.0	3.0
WA	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
WI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.
 * April, May, and June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

† May and June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

National Counts of T-22 Overall Rating Ranges April – June 2025

April Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	May Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	June Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified
Good	43	Good	43	Good	43
Fair	5	Fair	4	Fair	5
Poor	1	Poor	2	Poor	1
Total	49	Total	49	Total	49

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total number of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State – T-22 (IRP)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

Frequency Standard = 20: April had 21 weekdays, 1 Federal holiday, and no SAFER down days.

Methodology: Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percentage of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 6/1/2025 – 6/30/2025.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: ≥ 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: ≥ 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
--------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Stnd.	May Uploads % of Stnd.	June Uploads % of Stnd.
AK	Yes	136	148	145
AL	Yes	132	148	150
AR	Yes	132	138	145
AZ	Yes	118	133	140
CA	Yes	136	148	145
CO	Yes	105	114	110
CT	Yes	100	124	95
DC	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	118	119	130
FL	Yes	132	148	145
GA	Yes	136	148	145
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	136	138	130
ID	Yes	127	148	145
IL	Yes	127	138	110
IN	Yes	132	138	150
KS	Yes	123	143	145
KY	Yes	127	148	150
LA	Yes	105	119	120
MA	Yes	109	129	130
MD	Yes	123	129	130
ME	Yes	114	133	125
MI	Yes	136	148	150
MN	Yes	127	133	130
MO	Yes	132	138	145
MS	Yes	114	119	125

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Stnd.	May Uploads % of Stnd.	June Uploads % of Stnd.
MT	Yes	105	114	110
NC	Yes	136	143	130
ND	Yes	132	138	145
NE	Yes	136	133	135
NH	Yes	127	119	150
NJ	Yes	136	148	150
NM	Yes	109	105	100
NV	Yes	123	148	145
NY	Yes	132	148	150
OH	Yes	136	148	150
OK	Yes	123	133	120
OR	Yes	136	148	150
PA	Yes	136	148	150
RI	Yes	136	148	140
SC	Yes	123	138	135
SD	Yes	136	143	150
TN	Yes	118	119	120
TX	Yes	136	148	150
UT	Yes	136	148	150
VA	Yes	132	148	150
VT	Yes	100	133	130
WA	Yes	123	133	115
WI	Yes	109	119	120
WV	Yes	100	114	95
WY	Yes	136	148	150

Note: Some States upload on weekends/holidays.

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2025 – 6/30/2025.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	99	99	100
CO	Yes	97	98	97
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND*	Yes	99	99	98
NE	Yes	100	100	98
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	99	95
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA†	Yes	100	99	98
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* April, May and June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

† May and June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-22 records in SAFER that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.”

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2025 – 6/30/2025.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 98 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: 100%	Fair: 98 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 97%
------------	----------------	-------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	99	99	100
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA *	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND*	Yes	99	99	98
NE	Yes	100	100	98
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA†	Yes	100	99	98
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* April, May and June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

† May and June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22

Standard: Vehicle registration status and IRP status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of active T-22 Interstate records (IRP status of Active) that show valid vehicle registration.

Source: SAFER, 6/3/2025. (Query of process time, Interstate flag, registration status, IRP status.)

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
AK	Yes	99	98	98
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	83	83	83
CA	Yes	100	99	100
CO	Yes	99	99	99
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	99	99	99
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	99
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	81	83	84
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS ²	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	89	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	99	99	99
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	98	97
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK ²	Yes	99	99	99
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	98	96	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	99	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY ¹	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

Note: Rating anomalies may result from the variety of renewal grace periods across States. While the M4 measure allows for a 30-day grace period, individual State grace periods range from 30 to 90 days.

¹ ITD DQ team has been notified of the State's renewal grace period of 90 days.

² ITD DQ team has been notified of the State's renewal grace period of 60 days.

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22

Standard: Annual IRP baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

- **Good:** State conducted an IRP baseline at least once in the past 12 months.
- **Poor:** State did not conduct an IRP baseline in the past 12 months.

STATE	Jul-25	Aug-25	Sep-25
CO		DUE	
IL		DUE	
MD	DUE		
TX			DUE
VA			DUE

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2025

Good: Baseline in Last 12 Months	Poor: No Baseline in Last 12 Months	Good: Baseline Due Soon
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
AK*	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
CA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MN	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
MO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ND	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NE	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NJ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NM	Yes	Poor	Good	Good
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OK	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VT	Yes	Poor	Good	Good
WA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WY	Yes	Good	Good	Good

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

Additional Information

SAFER Processing Report

The ITD Support team is aware that data quality can be impacted by the availability and timeliness of SAFER processing. The ITD DQ Evaluation report includes the following information about baseline processing and CVIEW production for the evaluated month.

June	Count / Month
Baselining States	12
IRP Only: AL, CA, CT, GA, RI	5
IFTA Only: LA, MD, MI	3
IFTA and IRP: MN, MO, NE, SC	4
Known Day(s) with Delays in CVIEW Production	0
Known Day(s) with Delays in IDR Production	0

Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues

The DQ Communication Process was developed and implemented to collect and report on specific data quality issues found by any State involving another State’s data. Volpe collects and communicates State-reported findings, reaching out to responsible States to not only facilitate record correction, but to help the State identify conditions that may contribute to a larger data quality problem. This process depends on State participation, i.e., reporting findings and, if contacted by Volpe, promptly responding to issues. Since June 2014, Volpe has shared counts of reported and resolved issues at ITD Program Manager and ITD ACCB meetings; this indicator supplements that reporting.

Counts of Reported and Pending DQ Issues

As of 6/18/2025:

- February 2025: 15 States with reported issues. 1/41 pending.
- March 2025: 13 States with reported issues. 3/78 pending.
- April 2025: 16 States with reported issues. 8/45 pending.
- May 2025: 15 States with reported issues. 9/85 pending.
- June (25): 27 states had issues reported. 83/168 are still pending.

Note: Starting with December 2022, DQ discrepancies reported by PrePass are included in these counts.

Responsiveness Indicator Criteria

The Responsiveness Indicator is described below in terms of the indicator’s standard and methodology, and the status categories of Good, Fair, and Poor. The table that follows lists the status of the current evaluated month along with the previous two months’, for reference.

- **Standard:** Resolve reported issue(s) within 30 days.
- **Methodology:** The number of days between a State being notified by Volpe of a DQ issue and resolving that issue is compared to the 30-day standard.

Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues by State

March – May 2025

Status Categories

- n/a: Not Applicable; no issues reported for that State.
- Good: Issue(s) reported and resolved within 30 days.
- Fair: Issue(s) reported during the evaluated month.
- Poor: Reported issue(s) are more than one month old.

Good: All Reported Issue(s) Resolved	Fair: Issue(s) Reported in Evaluated Month	Poor: Issue(s) More Than One Month Old
--------------------------------------	--	--

State	Core Certified	April Status	May Status	June Status
AK	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	n/a	n/a	Fair
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
CA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
CT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DC	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
FL	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
GA	Yes	n/a	Good	Fair
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
ID	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
IL	Yes	Poor	Fair	Poor
IN	Yes	Good	Poor	Poor
KS	Yes	n/a	n/a	Fair
KY	Yes	n/a	Good	n/a
LA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
MA	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MD	Yes	n/a	Fair	Poor
ME	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	Yes	Good	n/a	Fair
MN	No	n/a	n/a	Good
MO	Yes	Good	Good	Fair
MS	Yes	n/a	n/a	Fair

State	Core Certified	April Status	May Status	June Status
MT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NC	Yes	Fair	Poor	Poor
ND	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	n/a	n/a	Fair
NH	Yes	n/a	Good	n/a
NJ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
NM	Yes	Poor	Good	n/a
NV	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
NY	Yes	n/a	Fair	Poor
OH	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
OK	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
OR	Yes	Poor	n/a	Good
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
SC	Yes	n/a	n/a	Fair
SD	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
VA	Yes	n/a	Fair	Poor
VT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WA	Yes	Good	Good	n/a
WI	Yes	n/a	Good	Good
WV	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a

Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures

Since 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed State ITD data submitted to SAFER and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. The development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures and associated modifications to the monthly DQ report are summarized here.



- June 2015 report:** The initial DQ measures evaluated T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction). Preliminary State ratings based on April 2015 data were presented in June at the FY 2015 ITD Workshop and the first monthly DQ report was published the same month.
- August 2015 report:** A new indicator of State responsiveness to individual DQ issues reported to Volpe via the DQ Communication Process. Results are NOT included in the Overall Measure rating.
- October 2015 report:** A second set of measures like the T-22 measures to evaluate T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction). **Note:** The introduction of the T-19 measures necessitated a change to the T-22 measure of Baseline Frequency (M5), which did not distinguish between baselines of IRP and IFTA data. Going forward, IRP and IFTA baselines will be tracked and evaluated separately.
- February 2016 report:** A modification to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. In March 2016, the T-19 Validity Measure (M4) was reassessed. Given the variations across States in reporting of the measure's evaluated fields, an accurate rating could not be assigned to all States; thus, M4 was removed from the calculation of the T-19 Overall rating. These changes were implemented with the February 2016 evaluation, but monthly ratings were adjusted going back to October 2015.
- April 2020 report:** Modifications to the T-22 (IRP) Measures. By 2019, State ratings had improved significantly. While most States were regularly achieving Good Overall ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) measures, and adjusted the calculation of the Overall rating to put more emphasis on successful uploads (M2).

Adjusted Calculation of T-22 Overall Rating – April 2020

T-22 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	20%	15%
M2	20%	30%
M3	20%	No change
M4	30%	No change
M5	10%	5%

Changes to Selected T-22 Individual Rating Ranges – April 2020

T-22 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1 Timeliness	Previous: $\geq 100\%$ April 2020: No change	Previous: 77-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 76\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% April 2020: 100%	Previous: 90-95% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$
M4 Validity	Previous: 100% April 2020: No change	Previous: 90-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$

- September 2021 report:** Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. On June 1, 2021, to ensure consistent data for the T-19 Validity Measure (M4), the “IFTA account expiration date” field was made mandatory in SAFER. After a three-month preview period, all CVIEW-certified, non-exempt States began receiving an M4 rating and the M4 measure was again included in the T-19 Overall rating calculation. (See “February 2016 report” above for background.)

Adjusted Calculation of T-19 Overall Rating – September 2021

T-19 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	30%	15%
M2	30%	No change
M3	30%	20%
M4	Excluded	30%
M5	10%	5%

- December 2021 report:** Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. By late 2021, while most States regularly achieved Good Overall T-19 (IFTA) ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications implemented with the December 2021 evaluation raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) Measures to align with the T-22 (IRP) Measures.

Changes to Selected T-19 Individual Rating Ranges – December 2021

T-19 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1 Timeliness	Previous: ≥ 100% Dec. 2021: No change	Previous: 77-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 76% Dec. 2021: ≤ 94%
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% Dec. 2021: 100%	Previous: 90-95% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 89% Dec. 2021: ≤ 94%
M4 Validity	Previous: 100% Dec. 2021: No change	Previous: 90-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: ≤ 89% Dec. 2021: ≤ 94%

- August 2022 report:** Modifications to both the T-19 (IFTA) and T-22 (IRP) Measures. As a follow-on to the December 2021 changes, the rating ranges for both Overall Ratings were adjusted to raise the bar of the Good, Fair, and Poor ratings.

Adjustments to Overall Rating Ranges – August 2022

Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
Previous: 2.5 – 3.0 Aug. 2022: 2.7 – 3.0	Previous: 2.0 – 2.4 Aug. 2022: 2.3 – 2.6	Previous: ≤ 1.9 Aug. 2022: ≤ 2.2