



U.S. Department
of Transportation

Federal Motor
Carrier Safety
Administration



ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

June | 2026

Contents

ITD DQ Initiative	1
Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures	1
Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA).....	2
Individual Measures – T-19.....	2
Overall Rating – T-19.....	2
Overall Ratings – T-19 (IFTA)	3
State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings April – June 2026	3
Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)	4
M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19.....	4
M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19.....	5
M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19.....	6
M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19.....	7
M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19.....	8
Overview of DQ Measures – T-22 (IRP).....	9
Individual Measures – T-22.....	9
Overall Measure – T-22	9
Overall Ratings – T-22 (IRP)	10
State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings April – June 2026	10
Individual Ratings by State – T-22 (IRP)	11
M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22.....	11
M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22.....	12
M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22.....	13
M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22.....	14
M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22	15
Additional Information	16
SAFER Processing Report	16
Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues	16
Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures	18

ITD State Data Quality Evaluation Results

June 2026

This report presents the results of the June 2026 State Data Quality (DQ) evaluation. It also provides background on the purpose, development, and methodology of the State DQ Measures.

ITD DQ Initiative

Data quality is a top priority of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration (FMCSA) and the Innovative Technology Deployment (ITD) Program. The ITD DQ Improvement Initiative was developed by the ITD Support team at the Volpe National Transportation Systems Center (Volpe), in coordination with an ACCB¹ DQ Working Group, to identify and resolve DQ issues. The ITD Support team developed DQ measures, standards, and evaluation methodologies, which were reviewed and accepted by the ACCB. Since June 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. Monthly reports provide DQ ratings generated by:

- The DQ Performance Measures, which rate the quality of IFTA² and IRP³ credential data uploaded by ITD States to SAFER via the T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction) and the T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction).
- The DQ Communication Process, which communicates, and monitors correction of, individual State-reported DQ issues.

Purpose and Evolution of the ITD DQ Measures

The purpose of the ITD DQ Performance Measures is not to be punitive, but to highlight data quality issues and prompt States to investigate causes. The measures were designed to address expectations of the ITD Program as well as issues raised by States during program workshops and ACCB meetings; the design was also informed by the DQ Communication Process. Ongoing discussion is encouraged as the measures evolve with the needs of the Program and the ITD community.

See below for a high-level timeline of the development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures. The appendix to this report provides details.



¹ ACCB – ITD Architecture Change Control Board.

² IFTA – International Fuel Tax Association.

³ IRP – International Registration Plan.

Overview of DQ Measures – T-19 (IFTA)

The T-19 DQ Measures rate the quality of IFTA data uploaded by IFTA Commercial Vehicle Information Exchange Window (CVIEW)-certified States to FMCSA's Safety and Fitness Electronic Records (SAFER). Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IFTA CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall T-19 rating is calculated from the individual rating values.

Individual Measures – T-19

The T-19 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

T-19 Measures and Rating Calculations/Values – Effective December 2021

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-19 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percentage of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	M1 = # uploads / # business days Good if M1 % >= 100 Fair if 95 <= M1 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M1 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percentage of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	M2 = # applied / # received, 0, if # received = 0 Good if M2 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M2 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M2 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error "Older than existing data." Source: Log files. Counts of error message "Older than existing data."	M3 = 100% - (# rejected_error / # received), 0, if # received = 0 Good if M3 % = 100 Fair if 98 <= M3 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M3 % <= 97	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
4 Validity: IFTA Exp. Date./ Status	IFTA account exp. date and status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-19 Interstate records (Active account status) that show valid account (exp. date not expired). Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, Interstate flag, IFTA exp. date, IFTA status.	M4 = 100% - (# count / # active), 0, if # active = 0 Good if M4 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M4 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M4 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	M5 = 2, if exempt M5 = 1, if baseline last 12 mos. M5 = 0, if no baseline last 12 mos.	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Rating – T-19

The T-19 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-19 Overall Rating Calculation – Effective August 2022

Individual T-19 Rating Values	Measure Weights	Overall Rating Calculation	Overall Rating Ranges
Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1	M1: Timely Uploads = 15% M2: Completeness = 30% M3: Accuracy = 20% M4: Validity = 30% M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	(M1 Rating Value * 15%) + (M2 Rating Value * 30%) + (M3 Rating Value * 20%) + (M4 Rating Value * 30%) + (M5 Rating Value * 5%) If calculated Overall Rating is <1, = 0	Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤2.2

Overall Ratings – T-19 (IFTA)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State's Core and CVIEW certification status along with its Overall ratings.

State T-19 (IFTA) Overall Ratings April – June 2026

Good: 2.7 – 3.0	Fair: 2.3 – 2.6	Poor: ≤ 2.2
-----------------	-----------------	-------------

STATE	Core Certified	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
AK*	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Yes	2.3	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AZ	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
CA	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
CO	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.7
CT	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.7	2.9
DC*	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
GA	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ID	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.1
IL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
IN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KS	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.6	2.7
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
LA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MA	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.6
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.9
MN	No	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
MO	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

STATE	Core Certified	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.4	2.4
ND	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.4
NE	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.5	3.0
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	2.6	2.6	3.0
NM	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.6	2.4
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.7
OH	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	3.0
OK	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
OR	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	2.7
PA	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TX	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	2.4	2.7	2.4
VA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VT	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.9	2.9
WA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WI	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
WV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

*AK and DC are CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.
n/a = Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

National Counts of T-19 Overall Rating Ranges April – June 2026

April Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	May Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified	June Overall Rating	IFTA CVIEW Certified
Good	39	Good	39	Good	38
Fair	8	Fair	8	Fair	8
Poor	0	Poor	0	Poor	1
Total	47	Total	47	Total	47

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total count of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State – T-19 (IFTA)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

Frequency Standard = 21: June had 22 weekdays and 1 holiday (6/19).

Methodology: Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percentage of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 6/1/2026 – 6/30/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: ≥ 100%
- Fair: 95% – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2026

Good: ≥ 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
--------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Standard	May Uploads % of Standard	June Uploads % of Standard
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	153	155	143
AR	Yes	159	155	138
AZ	Yes	135	120	105
CA	Yes	159	155	143
CO	Yes	112	90	86
CT	Yes	112	90	95
DC*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	153	135	133
FL	Yes	141	155	138
GA	Yes	65	90	57
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	159	155	129
ID	Yes	124	105	67
IL	Yes	118	100	100
IN	Yes	153	145	119
KS	Yes	118	95	81
KY	Yes	147	155	138
LA	Yes	112	105	105
MA	Yes	124	105	95
MD	Yes	159	155	143
ME	Yes	159	140	100
MI	Yes	159	155	138
MN	Yes	118	105	105
MO	Yes	129	145	105
MS	Yes	112	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Standard	May Uploads % of Standard	June Uploads % of Standard
MT	Yes	159	140	124
NC	Yes	159	155	143
ND	Yes	165	150	90
NE	Yes	129	105	100
NH	Yes	159	145	133
NJ	Yes	159	120	133
NM	Yes	100	95	86
NV	Yes	159	145	129
NY	Yes	118	100	90
OH	Yes	153	125	124
OK	Yes	124	105	100
OR	Yes	124	110	110
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	159	145	133
SC	Yes	112	110	124
SD	Yes	159	150	129
TN	Yes	141	155	138
TX	Yes	118	100	105
UT	Yes	94	100	81
VA	Yes	153	150	143
VT	Yes	153	150	129
WA	Yes	159	140	129
WI	Yes	118	105	105
WV	Yes	159	155	143
WY	Yes	159	155	129

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK and DC are CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of received T-19 records that were applied to SAFER.
(not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2026 – 6/30/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	92	92	92
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	99
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	98
NE	Yes	100	99	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	98	100	99
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK and DC are CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-19 records that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.”

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2026 – 6/30/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 98 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 98 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 97%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
AK*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	100	100
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC*	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	99	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* AK and DC are CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19

Standard: IFTA account validity and IFTA status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of active T-19 Interstate records (IFTA status of Active) that show a valid IFTA account.

Source: SAFER, 7/8/2026. (Query of carrier IFTA status code, account number, and expiration date.)

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: IFTA Account and Status – T-19 State Ratings April – June 2026

Note: As of the **April 2018** evaluation, the T-19 M4 measure allows for a 60-day grace period, which is the IFTA standard; previously, this measure allowed for a 30-day grace period.

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	85	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	82	92	92
CA ²	Yes	100	100	100
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	97	100	100
GA	Yes	97	97	97
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	96	96	96
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	98	99	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	97	98	99
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	99	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

² CA and NC IFTA data is sent directly from IFTA clearinghouse.

STATE	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC ²	Yes	92	92	92
ND	Yes	100	100	100
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	96	96	100
NM	Yes	94	95	97
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	100
OH	Yes	99	99	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	99	99	99
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	99	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

¹ AK and DC are CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19

Standard: Annual IFTA baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

- **Good:** State conducted an IFTA baseline at least once in the past 12 months.
- **Poor:** State did not conduct a baseline at least once in the past 12 months.

STATE	Jul-26	Aug-26	Sep-26
AR		DUE	
CA	DUE		
MA			DUE
NE			DUE
VA		DUE	

M5 Timeliness: IFTA Baseline Frequency – T-19 State Ratings

April – June 2026

Good: Baseline in Last 12 Months	Poor: No Baseline in Last 12 Months	Good: Baseline Due Soon
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
AK ¹	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	Poor	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CA ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC ¹	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Poor
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MI	Yes	Good	Good	Poor
MN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good

State	IFTA CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NC ²	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ND	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NJ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
NM	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OK	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VT	Yes	Good	Poor	Poor
WA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WY	Yes	Good	Good	Good

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

¹AK and DC are CVIEW Certified but exempt from IFTA uploading.

²CA and NC are exempt from baselining so receive credit for purposes of calculating the Overall rating

Overview of DQ Measures – T-22 (IRP)

The T-22 DQ Measures rate the quality of IRP data uploaded by IRP CVIEW-certified States to SAFER. Every month, five individual measures and an overall measure are calculated for each IRP CVIEW-certified State, and ratings are reported. For each individual measure, a rating of Good, Fair, or Poor is generated and a rating value is assigned; the Overall rating is calculated from individual rating values.

Individual Measures – T-22

The T-22 Individual Measures, calculated ratings, and rating values are defined below.

ITD Data Quality Measures – T-22 (IRP) – Effective April 2020

Measure	Standard	Method / Data Source	Calculation/Ratings	Rating Value
1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency	Daily uploads	Compare number of T-22 uploads per month to the frequency standard (number of business days in evaluated month). Calculate the percentage of upload frequency achieved compared to standard. Source: Daily Reports, sending States.	M1 = # uploads / # business days Good if M1 % >= 100 Fair if 95 <= M1 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M1 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
2 Completeness: Successful Uploads	Minimal error rate	Calculate the percentage of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected). Source: Log files, counts of received vs applied.	M2 = # applied / # received, 0, if # received = 0 Good if M2 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M2 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M2 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency	Update date must not be older than existing data	Calculate the percent of total T-22 records that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.” Source: Log files. Counts of error message “Older than existing data.”	M3 = 100% - (# rejected_error / # received), 0, if # received = 0 Good if M3 % = 100 Fair if 98 <= M3 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M3 % <= 97	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1 0, else
4 Validity: Vehicle Reg./IRP Status	Vehicle reg. status and IRP status must be aligned	Calculate the percent of active T-22 Interstate records (Active IRP status) that show valid vehicle registration. Source: SAFER database. Query of process time, Interstate flag, reg. status, IRP status.	M4 = 100% - (# count / # active), 0, if # active = 0 Good if M4 % = 100 Fair if 95 <= M4 % <= 99 Poor if 0 <= M4 % <= 94	Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1
5 Baseline Frequency	Annual IRP Baseline (minimum)	Source: ITD Support records.	M5 = 2, if exempt M5 = 1, if baseline in last 12 mos. M5 = 0, if no baseline in last 12 mos. Good if M5 = 1 or 2 Poor if M5 = 0	Good = 3 Poor = 1

Overall Measure – T-22

The T-22 Overall rating is calculated as shown below.

T-22 Overall Rating Calculation – Effective August 2022

Individual T-22 Rating Values	Measure Weights	Overall Rating Calculation	Overall Rating Ranges
Good = 3 Fair = 2 Poor = 1	M1: Timely Uploads = 15% M2: Completeness = 30% M3: Accuracy = 20% M4: Validity = 30% M5: Timely Baselines = 5%	(M1 Rating Value * 15%) + (M2 Rating Value * 30%) + (M3 Rating Value * 20%) + (M4 Rating Value * 30%) + (M5 Rating Value * 5%) If calculated Overall Rating is <1, = 0	Good: 2.7 – 3.0 Fair: 2.3 – 2.6 Poor: ≤2.2

Overall Ratings – T-22 (IRP)

All ratings are presented in this report as a rolling three months for comparison purposes. For reference, the chart below shows each State's Core and CVIEW certification status, along with its Overall ratings.

State T-22 (IRP) Overall Ratings April – June 2026

Good: 2.7 – 3.0	Fair: 2.3 – 2.6	Poor: ≤ 2.2
-----------------	-----------------	-------------

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
AK	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
AL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AR	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
AZ	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
CA*	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.5	2.5
CO	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
CT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
DC	Yes	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
FL	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
GA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
HI	No	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
ID	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
IL	Yes	Yes	2.4	3.0	3.0
IN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
KY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
LA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MA	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.9
MD	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.9	3.0
ME	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.5
MN	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MO	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
MS	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

STATE	Core Certified	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Overall Rating	May Overall Rating	June Overall Rating
MT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
ND*	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.0
NE	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NH	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NJ	Yes	Yes	2.3	2.3	3.0
NM	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.9	2.0
NV	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
NY	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	1.7
OH	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.4	2.4
OK	Yes	Yes	2.7	2.7	2.7
OR*	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	2.0
PA	No	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
RI	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SC	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
SD	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TN	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
TX	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
UT	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VA	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0
VT	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.9	2.9
WA	Yes	Yes	2.9	2.9	2.9
WI	Yes	Yes	2.7	3.0	3.0
WV	Yes	Yes	3.0	2.9	2.9
WY	Yes	Yes	3.0	3.0	3.0

* June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

National Counts of T-22 Overall Rating Ranges April – June 2026

April Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	May Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified	June Overall Ratings	IRP CVIEW Certified
Good	47	Good	46	Good	42
Fair	2	Fair	3	Fair	3
Poor	0	Poor	0	Poor	4
Total	49	Total	49	Total	49

Note: The CVIEW-certified totals in this table might not equal the total number of CVIEW-certified States due to insufficient data for calculating an Overall rating in any month.

Individual Ratings by State – T-22 (IRP)

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22

Standard: Daily uploads. Upload frequency equals or exceeds number of business days in the evaluated month.

Frequency Standard = 21: June had 22 weekdays and 1 holiday (6/19).

Methodology: Compare the number of uploads to the frequency standard; calculate the percentage of the frequency standard achieved by the State.

Source: Daily Reports, 6/1/2026 – 6/30/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: $\geq 100\%$
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: $\leq 94\%$

M1 Timeliness: Upload Frequency – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2026

Good: $\geq 100\%$ Fair: 95 - 99% Poor: $\leq 94\%$

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Stnd.	May Uploads % of Stnd.	June Uploads % of Stnd.
AK	Yes	159	155	143
AL	Yes	153	140	138
AR	Yes	159	155	138
AZ	Yes	124	110	138
CA	Yes	135	145	143
CO	Yes	135	100	105
CT	Yes	135	150	110
DC	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	129	110	133
FL	Yes	176	150	138
GA	Yes	159	155	143
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	159	140	133
ID	Yes	153	140	133
IL	Yes	135	125	100
IN	Yes	165	155	138
KS	Yes	153	140	105
KY	Yes	153	155	143
LA	Yes	129	115	105
MA	Yes	118	110	105
MD	Yes	129	95	100
ME	Yes	129	135	119
MI	Yes	159	150	138
MN	Yes	153	140	129
MO	Yes	159	155	129
MS	Yes	135	125	114

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Uploads % of Stnd.	May Uploads % of Stnd.	June Uploads % of Stnd.
MT	Yes	124	125	110
NC	Yes	159	125	129
ND	Yes	171	155	143
NE	Yes	159	135	124
NH	Yes	153	125	138
NJ	Yes	165	155	138
NM	Yes	106	95	90
NV	Yes	153	145	133
NY	Yes	165	135	114
OH	Yes	159	155	143
OK	Yes	135	130	124
OR	Yes	159	145	143
PA	Yes	153	150	143
RI	Yes	153	155	138
SC	Yes	118	120	114
SD	Yes	153	150	138
TN	Yes	135	115	114
TX	Yes	171	155	143
UT	Yes	171	150	138
VA	Yes	153	140	138
VT	Yes	135	135	124
WA	Yes	141	120	110
WI	Yes	124	105	105
WV	Yes	124	95	95
WY	Yes	159	155	133

Note: Some States upload on weekends/holidays.

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22

Standard: Minimal error rate.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of received T-22 records that were applied to SAFER (not rejected).

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2026 – 6/30/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M2 Completeness: Successful Uploads – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2026

Good: 100%

Fair: 95 - 99%

Poor: ≤ 94%

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA*	Yes	100	99	99
CO	Yes	97	96	96
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	99
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

STATE	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Successful Uploads	May % Successful Uploads	June % Successful Uploads
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND*	Yes	100	100	96
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	96
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	95
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR*	Yes	100	100	94
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

* June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22

Standard: Update date must not be older than existing data.

Methodology: Calculate the percent of total T-22 records in SAFER that were not rejected with the error “Older than existing data.”

Source: Error logs, 6/1/2026 – 6/30/2026.

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 98 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 97%

M3 Accuracy: Last Update Date Currency – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 98 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 97%
------------	----------------	-------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
AK	Yes	100	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	100	100	100
CA	Yes	100	99	99
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	100	100	100
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	100	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	99
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Current	May % Current	June % Current
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND*	Yes	100	100	96
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	100	100	100
NM	Yes	100	100	96
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	100	100	95
OH	Yes	100	100	100
OK	Yes	100	100	100
OR*	Yes	100	100	94
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	100	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY	Yes	100	100	100

* June T-22 Overall ratings were negatively impacted by IDR business rules, which affected the M2 and M3 ratings.

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22

Standard: Vehicle registration status and IRP status must be aligned.

Methodology: Calculate the percentage of active T-22 Interstate records (IRP status of Active) that show valid vehicle registration.

Source: SAFER, 7/8/2026. (Query of process time, Interstate flag, registration status, IRP status.)

Rating Ranges:

- Good: 100%
- Fair: 95 – 99%
- Poor: ≤ 94%

M4 Validity: Vehicle Registration Status – T-22 State Ratings April – June 2026

Good: 100%	Fair: 95 - 99%	Poor: ≤ 94%
------------	----------------	-------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
AK	Yes	99	100	100
AL	Yes	100	100	100
AR	Yes	100	100	100
AZ	Yes	98	98	99
CA	Yes	100	100	100
CO	Yes	100	100	100
CT	Yes	100	100	100
DC	Yes	100	100	100
DE	Yes	100	100	100
FL	Yes	100	100	100
GA	Yes	100	100	100
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	98	99	98
ID	Yes	100	100	100
IL	Yes	67	100	100
IN	Yes	100	100	100
KS ²	Yes	100	100	100
KY	Yes	100	100	100
LA	Yes	100	100	100
MA	Yes	100	100	100
MD	Yes	100	100	100
ME	Yes	100	100	100
MI	Yes	100	100	100
MN	Yes	100	100	100
MO	Yes	100	100	100
MS	Yes	100	100	100

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April % Aligned	May % Aligned	June % Aligned
MT	Yes	100	100	100
NC	Yes	100	100	100
ND	Yes	98	98	98
NE	Yes	100	100	100
NH	Yes	100	100	100
NJ	Yes	86	84	100
NM	Yes	100	100	100
NV	Yes	100	100	100
NY	Yes	99	99	89
OH	Yes	100	87	87
OK ²	Yes	99	99	99
OR	Yes	100	100	100
PA	Yes	100	100	100
RI	Yes	100	100	100
SC	Yes	100	100	100
SD	Yes	100	100	100
TN	Yes	100	100	100
TX	Yes	100	100	100
UT	Yes	100	100	100
VA	Yes	100	100	100
VT	Yes	100	100	100
WA	Yes	100	100	100
WI	Yes	99	100	100
WV	Yes	100	100	100
WY ¹	Yes	100	100	100

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

Note: Rating anomalies may result from the variety of renewal grace periods across States. While the M4 measure allows for a 30-day grace period, individual State grace periods range from 30 to 90 days.

¹ ITD DQ team has been notified of the State's renewal grace period of 90 days.

² ITD DQ team has been notified of the State's renewal grace period of 60 days.

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22

Standard: Annual IRP baseline (minimum).

Source: ITD Support records.

Rating Ranges:

- **Good:** State conducted an IRP baseline at least once in the past 12 months.
- **Poor:** State did not conduct an IRP baseline in the past 12 months.

STATE	Jul-26	Aug-26	Sep-26
ID	DUE		
NE			DUE
TX			DUE
VA		DUE	

M5 Timeliness: IRP Baseline Frequency – T-22 State Ratings

April – June 2026

Good: Baseline in Last 12 Months	Poor: No Baseline in Last 12 Months	Good: Baseline Due Soon
----------------------------------	-------------------------------------	-------------------------

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
AK*	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
AZ	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
DE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
FL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
GA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ID	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IL	Yes	Good	Good	Good
IN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KS	Yes	Good	Good	Good
KY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
LA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
MD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ME	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MO	Yes	Good	Good	Good
MS	Yes	Good	Good	Good

State	IRP CVIEW Certified	April Rating	May Rating	June Rating
MT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
ND	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NE	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NJ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
NM	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
NY	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OH	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OK	Yes	Good	Good	Good
OR	Yes	Good	Good	Good
PA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
RI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SC	Yes	Good	Good	Good
SD	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TN	Yes	Good	Good	Good
TX	Yes	Good	Good	Good
UT	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
VT	Yes	Good	Poor	Poor
WA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
WI	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WV	Yes	Good	Good	Good
WY	Yes	Good	Good	Good

n/a = Not Applicable: Not CVIEW Certified or Insufficient Data.

* State is exempt from baselining so receives credit for purposes of calculating the Overall rating.

Additional Information

SAFER Processing Report

The ITD Support team is aware that data quality can be impacted by the availability and timeliness of SAFER processing. The ITD DQ Evaluation report includes the following information about baseline processing and CVIEW production for the evaluated month.

June	Count / Month
Baselining States	6
IFTA Only: None	0
IRP Only: CO, IL, RI	3
IFTA and IRP: MN, NJ, SC	3
Known Day(s) with Delays in CVIEW Production	0
Known Day(s) with Delays in IDR Production	0

Indicator of Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues

The DQ Communication Process was developed and implemented to collect and report on specific data quality issues found by any State involving another State's data. Volpe collects and communicates State-reported findings, reaching out to responsible States to not only facilitate record correction, but to help the State identify conditions that may contribute to a larger data quality problem. This process depends on State participation, i.e., reporting findings and, if contacted by Volpe, promptly responding to issues. Since June 2014, Volpe has shared counts of reported and resolved issues at ITD Program Manager and ITD ACCB meetings; this indicator supplements that reporting.

Counts of Reported and Pending DQ Issues

As of 8/19/2026:

- June 2025: 27 states had issues reported. 17/167 are still pending.
- September 2025: 12 states had issues reported. 0/160 are still pending.
- October 2025: 20 states had issues reported. 0/71 are still pending.
- November 2025: 19 states had issues reported. 1/90 are still pending.
- January 2026: 10 states had issues reported. 1/20 are still pending.
- February 2026: 13 states had issues reported. 1/19 are still pending.
- March 2026: 14 states had issues reported. 5/35 are still pending.
- April 2026: 14 states had issues reported. 13/35 are still pending.
- May 2026: 10 states had issues reported. 3/21 are still pending.
- June 2026: 11 states had issues reported. 3/16 are still pending.

Note: Starting with December 2022, DQ discrepancies reported by PrePass are included in these counts.

Responsiveness Indicator Criteria

The Responsiveness Indicator is described below in terms of the indicator's standard and methodology, and the status categories of Good, Fair, and Poor. The table that follows lists the status of the current evaluated month along with the previous two months', for reference.

- **Standard:** Resolve reported issue(s) within 30 days.
- **Methodology:** The number of days between a State being notified by Volpe of a DQ issue and resolving that issue is compared to the 30-day standard.

Responsiveness to Reported Data Quality Issues by State

April – June 2026

Status Categories

- n/a: Not Applicable; no issues reported for that State.
- Good: Issue(s) reported and resolved within 30 days.
- Fair: Issue(s) reported during the evaluated month.
- Poor: Reported issue(s) are more than one month old.

Good: All Reported Issue(s) Resolved	Fair: Issue(s) Reported in Evaluated Month	Poor: Issue(s) More Than One Month Old
--------------------------------------	--	--

State	Core Certified	April Status	May Status	June Status
AK	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AL	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
AR	Yes	Good	Good	n/a
AZ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Fair
CA	Yes	Good	Good	Good
CO	Yes	Fair	Poor	Poor
CT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DC	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
DE	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
FL	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
GA	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
HI	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
IA	Yes	n/a	Good	Fair
ID	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
IL	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
IN	Yes	n/a	Good	Good
KS	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
KY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
LA	Yes	Poor	Poor	Good
MA	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MD	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
ME	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
MI	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
MN	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
MO	Yes	Good	n/a	Good
MS	Yes	Poor	Good	n/a

State	Core Certified	April Status	May Status	June Status
MT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NC	Yes	Good	Good	n/a
ND	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NE	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NH	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NJ	Yes	Poor	Poor	Poor
NM	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NV	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
NY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
OH	Yes	Fair	Good	Good
OK	Yes	Poor	Good	n/a
OR	Yes	Good	Good	n/a
PA	No	n/a	n/a	n/a
RI	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
SC	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
SD	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
TN	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
TX	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
UT	Yes	Good	n/a	n/a
VA	Yes	n/a	n/a	Good
VT	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WA	Yes	Fair	Good	Fair
WI	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WV	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a
WY	Yes	n/a	n/a	n/a

Appendix: Evolution of the ITD Data Quality (DQ) Measures

Since 2015, the ITD Support team has analyzed State ITD data submitted to SAFER and reported monthly DQ results to the ITD community. The development and modification of the ITD DQ Measures and associated modifications to the monthly DQ report are summarized here.



- **June 2015 report:** The initial DQ measures evaluated T-22 (IRP Registration Input Transaction). Preliminary State ratings based on April 2015 data were presented in June at the FY 2015 ITD Workshop and the first monthly DQ report was published the same month.
- **August 2015 report:** A new indicator of State responsiveness to individual DQ issues reported to Volpe via the DQ Communication Process. Results are NOT included in the Overall Measure rating.
- **October 2015 report:** A second set of measures like the T-22 measures to evaluate T-19 (IFTA Input Transaction). **Note:** The introduction of the T-19 measures necessitated a change to the T-22 measure of Baseline Frequency (M5), which did not distinguish between baselines of IRP and IFTA data. Going forward, IRP and IFTA baselines will be tracked and evaluated separately.
- **February 2016 report:** A modification to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. In March 2016, the T-19 Validity Measure (M4) was reassessed. Given the variations across States in reporting of the measure's evaluated fields, an accurate rating could not be assigned to all States; thus, M4 was removed from the calculation of the T-19 Overall rating. These changes were implemented with the February 2016 evaluation, but monthly ratings were adjusted going back to October 2015.
- **April 2020 report:** Modifications to the T-22 (IRP) Measures. By 2019, State ratings had improved significantly. While most States were regularly achieving Good Overall ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) measures, and adjusted the calculation of the Overall rating to put more emphasis on successful uploads (M2).

Adjusted Calculation of T-22 Overall Rating – April 2020

T-22 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	20%	15%
M2	20%	30%
M3	20%	No change
M4	30%	No change
M5	10%	5%

Changes to Selected T-22 Individual Rating Ranges – April 2020

T-22 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1 Timeliness	Previous: $\geq 100\%$ April 2020: No change	Previous: 77-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 76\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% April 2020: 100%	Previous: 90-95% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$
M4 Validity	Previous: 100% April 2020: No change	Previous: 90-99% April 2020: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ April 2020: $\leq 94\%$

- **September 2021 report:** Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. On June 1, 2021, to ensure consistent data for the T-19 Validity Measure (M4), the “IFTA account expiration date” field was made mandatory in SAFER. After a three-month preview period, all CVIEW-certified, non-exempt States began receiving an M4 rating and the M4 measure was again included in the T-19 Overall rating calculation. (See “February 2016 report” above for background.)

Adjusted Calculation of T-19 Overall Rating – September 2021

T-19 Measure	Previous Weight	New Weight
M1	30%	15%
M2	30%	No change
M3	30%	20%
M4	Excluded	30%
M5	10%	5%

- **December 2021 report:** Modifications to the T-19 (IFTA) Measures. By late 2021, while most States regularly achieved Good Overall T-19 (IFTA) ratings, there was room for improvement. Modifications implemented with the December 2021 evaluation raised the bar of rating categories for the Timeliness (M1), Completeness (M2), and Validity (M4) Measures to align with the T-22 (IRP) Measures.

Changes to Selected T-19 Individual Rating Ranges – December 2021

T-19 Measure	Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
M1 Timeliness	Previous: $\geq 100\%$ Dec. 2021: No change	Previous: 77-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 76\%$ Dec. 2021: $\leq 94\%$
M2 Completeness	Previous: 96-100% Dec. 2021: 100%	Previous: 90-95% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ Dec. 2021: $\leq 94\%$
M4 Validity	Previous: 100% Dec. 2021: No change	Previous: 90-99% Dec. 2021: 95-99%	Previous: $\leq 89\%$ Dec. 2021: $\leq 94\%$

- **August 2022 report:** Modifications to both the T-19 (IFTA) and T-22 (IRP) Measures. As a follow-on to the December 2021 changes, the rating ranges for both Overall Ratings were adjusted to raise the bar of the Good, Fair, and Poor ratings.

Adjustments to Overall Rating Ranges – August 2022

Good Rating	Fair Rating	Poor Rating
Previous: 2.5 – 3.0 Aug. 2022: 2.7 – 3.0	Previous: 2.0 – 2.4 Aug. 2022: 2.3 – 2.6	Previous: ≤ 1.9 Aug. 2022: ≤ 2.2